		18CV329690 Santa Clara – Civil		
1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	Electronically Filed by Superior Court of CA,		
	ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892) JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565)	County of Santa Clara, on 2/7/2022 3:43 PM		
3 4	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058	Reviewed By: R. Walker Case #18CV329690 Envelope: 8234886		
5	619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com	Envelope. 6254660		
6	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com			
7	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783)			
8	YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037			
9	Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax)			
10	fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com			
11 12	Class Counsel			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	COUNTY OF	SANTA CLARA		
15	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on ) Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, )	Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and No. 18CV332644)		
16	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>		
17	VS. ))	DECLARATION OF DAVID W. HALL		
18	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al., )	FILED ON BEHALF OF HEDIN HALL LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR		
19 20	Defendants. )	AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES		
20 21		DATE: April 21, 2022 TIME: 1:30 p.m.		
22		JUDGE: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni DEPT: 1		
23				
24		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018		
25				
26				
27				
28				

I, David W. Hall, declare as follows:

I am a partner with the firm of Hedin Hall LLP ("Hedin Hall" or the "Firm"). I am
 submitting this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and
 expenses/charges ("expenses") in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action.

5 2. This Firm is co-counsel of record for plaintiff Ironworkers District Council of New
6 England Welfare, Pension and Vacation Funds.

7 3. The information in this declaration regarding the Firm's time and expenses is taken 8 from time and expense reports and supporting documentation prepared and/or maintained by the Firm 9 in the ordinary course of business. I am the partner who oversaw and/or conducted the day-to-day 10 activities in the litigation and I reviewed these reports (and backup documentation where necessary or appropriate) in connection with the preparation of this declaration. The purpose of this review was 11 to confirm both the accuracy of the entries as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the 12 13 time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made to 14 both time and expenses in the exercise of billing judgment. Based on this review and the adjustments 15 made, I believe that the time reflected in the Firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which 16 payment is sought herein are reasonable and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution 17 and resolution of the litigation.

18 4. After the reductions referred to above, the number of hours spent on the litigation by my Firm is 615.5. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A. The lodestar amount for 19 20 attorney time based on the Firm's 2021 rates is \$431,175.00. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A 21 are consistent with hourly rates charged by the Firm during 2021 in other securities class action 22 litigation. The Firm's rates are set based on periodic analysis of rates charged by firms performing 23 comparable work both on the plaintiff and defense side. For personnel who are no longer employed by the Firm, the "current rate" used for the lodestar calculation is based upon the rate for that person 24 25 in his or her final year of employment with the Firm.

26 5. My Firm does not seek an award of expenses and charges in connection with the27 prosecution of the litigation.

28

1

1	6. The identification and background of my Firm and its partners is attached hereto as		
2	Exhibit B.		
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing		
4	is true and correct. Executed this 4th day of February, 2022, at San Francisco, California.		
5	$\bigcirc$ 11		
6	111 th		
7	DAVID W. HALL		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
27			
_0	- 2 -		
	DECLARATION OF DAVID W. HALL FILED ON BEHALF OF HEDIN HALL LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES		

### EXHIBIT A

#### EXHIBIT A

#### *Wolther v. Maheshwari, et al.*, No. 18CV329690 Hedin Hall LLP Inception through Jan. 25, 2022

NAME		HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR
David W. Hall	(P)	477.5	725	\$346,187.50
Frank S. Hedin	(P)	17.5	725	\$12,687.50
Armen Zohrabian	(C)	120.5	600	\$72,300.00
TOTAL		615.5		\$431,175.00

(P) Partner

(C) Counsel

### EXHIBIT B

#### FIRM RESUME

1. With offices in San Francisco, California and Miami, Florida, Hedin Hall LLP represents consumers and shareholders in data-privacy, financial services, and securities class actions in state and federal courts nationwide.

2. We prosecute difficult cases aimed at redressing injuries suffered by large, diverse groups of people, many of which implicate cutting-edge technologies and issues of national significance. Our work has led to meaningful, industry-wide changes for the betterment of society and, over the past nine years alone, has contributed to the recovery of over \$1 billion for the aggrieved consumers and investors we have had the privilege to represent. Representative examples of our work include:

- *City of Sterling Heights General Employees'* Retirement System v. Prudential Financial, Inc. (D. N.J.) (\$33 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- Louisiana Municipal Police Employees' Pension Fund v. KPMG, LLP, et al. (N.D. Ohio) (\$32.6 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- *Cyan v. Beaver County Employees Retirement Fund*, (U.S. Supreme Court) (9-0 victory for plaintiffs on issues of first impression related to concurrent jurisdiction, dual sovereignty, the Supremacy Clause, PSLRA, SLUSA, and the Securities Act removal bar)
- Wiley v. Envivio, Inc., et al. (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$8.5 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- In re MobileIron Shareholder Litig. (Cal. Sup. Ct., Santa Clara Cnty.) (\$7.5 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- In re Model N Shareholder Litig. (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$8.55 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors);
- Xiang v. Inovalon Holdings, Inc., et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (\$17 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors);
- Buelow v. Alibaba Group Holding Ltd., et al. (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$75 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors).
  - 3. Our founding partners, Frank S. Hedin and David W. Hall, have significant experience

representing nationwide groups of people in disputes concerning shareholder rights, data privacy, and consumer protection. All of the firm attorneys and support staff are committed to representing

Hedin Hall LLP Four Embarcadero Center • Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 766-3534 • www.hedinhall.com

everyday people in complex class action litigation. Our shareholder rights practice, in particular, runs the gamut, from historic securities fraud class actions to pioneering recoveries in the wake of botched IPOs to the still emerging threat of crypto-currency fraud. We stay ahead of the curve by eschewing the assembly line approach of other firms. Fresh eyes and an open mind give us an edge, and it pays off for the individual and institutional investors we represent. Over the past 5 years alone, our attorneys have recovered over \$500 million for aggrieved investors.

4. Frank S. Hedin, co-founder of the firm, manages the Miami office. He is a member in good standing of the Florida Bar and the State Bar of California and is admitted to practice in numerous federal district courts and circuit courts of appeals. Mr. Hedin received a Bachelor of Arts from University of Michigan, and a Juris Doctor, *magna cum laude*, from Syracuse University College of Law. After law school, Mr. Hedin served for fifteen months as law clerk to the Honorable William Q. Hayes, United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, one of the heaviest class action dockets in the country. Prior to establishing Hedin Hall LLP, Mr. Hedin was a partner at a notable litigation boutique in Miami, Florida, where he represented both plaintiffs and defendants in consumer and data-privacy class actions, employment-related collective actions, and patent and trademark litigation, and served as head of the firm's class action practice.

5. David W. Hall is a founding partner of Hedin Hall LLP. He manages the firm's San Francisco office. Before founding Hedin Hall LLP, Mr. Hall litigated cases for the largest plaintiffs' firm in the United States, where he developed, *inter alia*, state court Securities Act and data privacy class action practices. Earlier in his legal career, he was privileged to serve as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, one of the heaviest class action dockets in the country. His responsibilities included civil and criminal trial dockets as well as panels of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Mr. Hall is a graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of the Law, cum laude, and the New England Conservatory of Music. At Hastings, he received a number of writing, examination, and

Moot Court competition awards, served as a Staff Editor of the Hastings Business Law Journal, worked as a teaching assistant in the Legal Writing & Research Department, and served as extern to the Honorable Joyce L. Kennard of the California Supreme Court.

6. Armen Zohrabian's practice includes complex class action litigation including securities, antitrust and data privacy matters. Between 2012 and 2021, he worked on securities and antitrust matters in the San Francisco office of a prominent plaintiff-side class action firm where he helped achieve \$229.5 million in settlements. Before joining the plaintiff's bar, he worked as an associate in the San Francisco office of a large international law firm, where his practice focused on complex commercial litigation, and where he represented several pro bono clients in parole hearings and in asylum applications. He graduated with honors from Wake Forest University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Politics and Economics. He earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of California at Berkeley School of Law, Boalt Hall, with a Certificate in Law and Technology. During law school, Armen was a member of the Berkeley Technology Law Journal, worked as a law clerk for the Federal Trade Commission, and served as a judicial extern for the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. He has been on the Homeless Action Center's board for over a decade. Based in Oakland and Berkeley, HAC provides no-cost, barrier-free, culturally competent legal representation that makes it possible for people who are homeless (or at risk of becoming homeless) to access social safety net programs that help restore dignity and provide sustainable income, healthcare, mental health treatment and housing.

7. Arun Ravindran is an accomplished trial lawyer, having tried more than twenty federal cases to jury verdict. He is dedicated to getting his clients the best possible results, even under the most challenging of circumstances. Before joining the firm, Mr. Ravindran litigated complex commercial cases at a prominent Florida law firm. Mr. Ravindran represented companies and individuals in a broad array of business disputes in state and federal courts around the country and also maintained a white-collar criminal defense practice which included grand jury representation

and pro bono post-conviction litigation under the First Step Act. Prior to civil practice, Mr. Ravindran served for nearly five years as an Assistant Federal Public Defender in the Southern District of Florida. He defended individuals charged with federal criminal offenses, from large narcotics conspiracies to investment schemes, international wire frauds, and health care fraud. Mr. Ravindran also represented clients on appeals before the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, arguing two such appeals as lead counsel. Earlier in his career he was honored to serve as a law clerk to the Honorable Patricia A. Seitz, of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Through this experience, Mr. Ravindran gained unique insights into the deliberative process. Prior to his clerkship, Mr. Ravindran proudly served as a Captain in the United States Marine Corps. As a Judge Advocate he represented Marines and Sailors charged in courts-martial with violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Mr. Ravindran graduated with a BA from Emory University in 2002 and an MSc. from the London School of Economics in 2003, and obtained his law degree from Emory Law School in 2007. He is admitted to the Florida and New York bars, the Southern District of Florida, the Southern District of New York, and the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. Mr. Ravindran is a member of Class X of the Miami Foundation's Miami Fellows program and serves on the Associate Board of Teach for America, Miami-Dade.

8. Our firm currently serves or has served as plaintiffs' counsel in numerous data-privacy, financial services, and securities class actions nationwide. *E.g., Luczak v. Nat'l Beverage Corp.*, No. 18-cv-61631-KMM (S.D. Fla.) (court-appointed counsel for class in action alleging violations of federal securities laws); *Hoffman v. Stephenson, et al. (In re AT&T Sec. Litig.)*, Index No. 650797/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (co-lead counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); *Plymouth County Retirement System v. Impinj, Inc., et al.*, Index No. 650629/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (co-lead counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting securities asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial and secondary public offerings; \$20 million aggregate recovery); *In re Dentsphy Sirona Inc. S'holders Litig.*, Index No. 155393/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.)

(counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); In re PPDAI Grp. Sec. Litig., Index No. 654482/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; class settlement pending); In re Altice USA, Inc. Sec. Litig., Index No. 711788/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Queens Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; class settlement pending); Plutte v. Sea Ltd., Index No. 655436/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; \$10.75 million class recovery); In re EverQuote, Inc. Sec. Litig., Index No. 650907/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; \$4.75 million class recovery); Wolther v. Maheshwari (In re Veeco Instruments, Inc. Sec. Litig.), Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Cal. Sup. Ct., Santa Clara Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); Huguelet v. Maxim Inc., No. 19-cv-4452-ALC (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery on behalf of consumers alleging disclosure of personal reading information in violation of Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act ("PPPA")); Kokoszki v. Playboy Enterpises, Inc., No. 19-cv-10302-BAF (E.D. Mich.) (same); Forton v. TEN: Publishing Media, LLC., No. 19-cv-11814-JEL (E.D. Mich.) (same); Kittle v. America's Test Kitchen LP, No. 19-cv-11757-TGB (E.D. Mich.) (same); Lin v. Crain Communications Inc., No. 19cv-11889-VAR (E.D. Mich.) (same); Markham v. Nat'l Geographic Partnr's LLC, No. 19-cv-232-JTN (W.D. Mich.) (same); Horton, et al. v. GameStop Corp., et al., No. 18-cv-0596-GJQ (W.D. Mich.) (same); Owens, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al., No. 19-cv-20614-MGC (S.D. Fla.) (\$4.95 million class-wide settlement); Liggio v. Apple Federal Credit Union, No. 18-cv-1059-LO (E.D. Va.) (\$2.7 million class-wide settlement).

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL
2	I, Marianne Maloney, am and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States
3	and a resident of the County of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested
4	party in the within action, and have a business address of 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego,
5	California 92101.

6 I hereby declare that on February 7, 2022, I served the attached DECLARATION OF DAVID
7 W. HALL FILED ON BEHALF OF HEDIN HALL LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR
8 AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES on the parties in the within action by emailing a
9 copy to the addresses below:

10 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

11	NAME	FIRM	EMAIL
12	James I. Jaconette Ellen Gusikoff Stewart	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com elleng@rgrdlaw.com
13		655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101	
14		Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)	
15		Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
16	Francis A. Bottini, Jr. Yury A. Kolesnikov	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102	fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com
17		La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001	
18		858/914-2002 (fax)	
19		Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
20	David W. Hall	HEDIN HALL LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite	dhall@hedinhall.com
21		1400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/766-3534	
22		415/402-0058 (fax)	
23		Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs	
24	Guillaume Buell	THORNTON LAW FIRM LLP 1 Lincoln Street	gbuell@tenlaw.com
25		Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: 617/720-1333	
26		Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs	
27			
II			

28

#### 1 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:

2		NAME	FIRM	EMAIL
3 4		Matthew W. Close Jonathan B. Waxman	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213/430-6000	mclose@omm.com jwaxman@omm.com
5			213/430-6407 (fax)	
6			Attorneys for Defendants	
7		I declare under penalty	of perjury that the foregoing is true and	d correct. Executed on February
8	7,	, 2022, at San Diego, Californ	nia.	
9			$\neq$	<u>A</u>
10			MARIAN	NNE MALONEY
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				