18CV329690 Santa Clara – Civil

1 2 3 4 5	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892) JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	Electronically Filed by Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara, on 12/1/2021 1:11 PM Reviewed By: R. Walker Case #18CV329690 Envelope: 7766490	
7 8 9 10	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783) YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax) fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com		
11 12	Class Counsel		
13 14	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.] SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
15 16 17	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff,	Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and No. 18CV332644))	
18	VS.) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)	
19	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,) AMENDED STIPULATION OF) SETTLEMENT)	
20	Defendants.) Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni) Dept.: 1	
21		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018	
22			
23			
24			
2526			
26			
28			
20			

This Amended Stipulation of Settlement dated November 30, 2021 ("Stipulation"), in the consolidated action captioned *Wolther v. Maheshwari*, Lead Case No. 18CV329690 ("Action"), pending before the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara ("Court"), supersedes the Stipulation of Settlement entered into by the Parties on October 19, 2021, and is entered into by and between Plaintiffs and Class Representatives Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund and Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund – Lake County and Vicinity (collectively, "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and each member of the Class (as defined below), and Defendant Veeco Instruments, Inc. ("Veeco" or the "Company"), and Defendants Shubham Maheshwari, John R. Peeler, John P. Kiernan, Kathleen A. Bayless, Richard A. D'Amore, Gordon Hunter, Keith D. Jackson, Peter J. Simone, and Thomas St. Dennis (collectively, the "Individual Defendants" and together with Veeco, "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel. The Stipulation is intended by Class Representatives and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") to fully, finally, and forever resolve, discharge, release and settle the Released Claims and the Released Defendants' Claims (both defined below), upon and subject to the terms and conditions hereof and subject to the Court's approval.

I. SUMMARY OF CLAIMS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This is a consolidated securities action against Defendants for claims under §§11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"). The Action is brought on behalf of all persons who acquired Veeco common stock in exchange for Ultratech, Inc. ("Ultratech") common stock pursuant to the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 merger with Ultratech ("Merger"). This case was certified as a class action on April 14, 2021.

Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated §§11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act by reason of material misrepresentations and omissions in the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with the Merger (collectively, the "Offering Documents"). Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that the Offering Documents misrepresented and omitted material facts about Veeco's and Ultratech's businesses and the competitive landscape in China, including that: (1) Veeco was being decimated by one of its main competitors, AMEC; (2) several factors were making it very difficult for Veeco to

co
 ma
 Ch
 an
 Mo
 of

"MOCVD" refers to metal organic chemical vapor deposition equipment.

compete in China, including in the MOCVD¹ market (*e.g.*, increased pricing pressure and reduced margins); (3) Veeco was already in an acrimonious IP dispute with AMEC and its supplier SGL; (4) the Chinese government's role in the China market made it very difficult for Veeco to retain market share; and (5) many risks that Veeco characterized as hypothetical had already materialized at the time of the Merger. (*See* Plaintiffs' allegations in the Consolidated Complaint for Violations of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Complaint"), ¶39-57.) Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, these allegations and that there was any violation of the Securities Act.

Plaintiff Matt Wolther filed the initial complaint in this Court on June 8, 2018. Plaintiff Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund ("Iron Workers") filed its complaint on August 2, 2018, and Plaintiff Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund – Lake County and Vicinity ("Construction Workers") filed its complaint on August 8, 2018. On November 30, 2018, the Court entered an order consolidating the actions and appointing Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP ("Robbins Geller") and Bottini & Bottini, Inc. as co-lead counsel.

On December 11, 2018, Plaintiffs filed the Complaint. On January 10, 2019, Defendants filed a demurrer to the Complaint. Plaintiffs opposed the demurrer on February 8, 2019, and Defendants filed their reply on February 25, 2019. The hearing on the demurrer occurred on April 26, 2019, and on May 3, 2019, Judge Brian C. Walsh issued his Order overruling the demurrer in its entirety.

On August 28, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. Defendants took discovery in connection with that motion, including propounding interrogatories and requests for production of documents and deposing representatives of each of the Class Representatives. On February 5, 2021, Defendants filed an opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs filed their reply on March 12, 2021. On March 19, 2021, Defendants objected to and moved to strike Plaintiffs' expert declaration submitted with their reply memorandum. Plaintiffs opposed the motion to strike on March 23, 2021. On March 25, 2021, the Court heard oral argument and on April 14, 2021, the Court issued an order certifying the Class, appointing Plaintiffs Iron Workers and Construction Workers as co-class representatives and appointing Robbins Geller and Bottini & Bottini, Inc. as co-class counsel.

Following the resolution of the demurrer, the Parties have engaged in extensive discovery efforts. In response to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, Veeco has produced and Plaintiffs' Counsel have reviewed over 182,000 pages of documents. The Parties also engaged in numerous meet-and-confer conferences regarding discovery and one informal discovery conference with the Court.

On May 27, 2020, the Parties participated in a Zoom mediation before the Honorable Jay C. Gandhi (Ret.) of JAMS. Prior to the mediation, the Parties prepared, exchanged and provided to Judge Gandhi detailed mediation statements and exhibits setting forth their respective positions on the merits and damages. Although the Parties negotiated in good faith, no settlement was reached and litigation continued. In June 2021, the Parties renewed their efforts to resolve the case. On June 23, 2021, the Parties attended a second full-day Zoom mediation with Judge Gandhi. The Parties exchanged and provided to Judge Gandhi updated mediation statements and exhibits prior to that mediation.

Although no agreement was reached at the June 23, 2021 mediation session, negotiations continued through Judge Gandhi. Thereafter, Judge Gandhi presented a mediator's proposal for the monetary terms for a settlement of this Action on a class-wide basis. On July 7, 2021, the Parties accepted the mediator's proposal and thereafter engaged in negotiations regarding the complete terms of the Settlement (as defined below), which are set forth in this Stipulation and which are subject to approval by the Court. This Stipulation (together with the exhibits hereto) reflects the final and binding agreement between the Parties.

II. PLAINTIFFS' INVESTIGATION AND THE BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

Class Counsel represent that they have conducted an extensive investigation of the claims and the underlying events and transactions alleged in this Action. Among other things, Class Counsel have analyzed public filings, records, documents produced by Veeco, and other materials concerning Defendants and third parties, retained a consultant to analyze damages and have researched the applicable law with respect to the claims of Class Representatives and the Class against Defendants and the potential defenses thereto.

Based on their investigation and review, Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel have concluded that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and in their best interests, and have agreed to settle the claims raised in the Action pursuant to the

terms and provisions of this Stipulation, after considering: (a) the substantial benefits that Class Representatives and the Class will receive from the settlement of the Action; (b) the risks, costs, and uncertainties of ongoing litigation and any appeals; (c) the desirability of permitting the Settlement to be consummated as provided by the terms of this Stipulation; and (d) Plaintiffs' Counsel's extensive experience in the prosecution of similar actions.

The Parties to this Stipulation and their counsel agree not to contend in any forum that the Action was brought or defended in bad faith, without a reasonable basis, or in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7 or any other similar law or statute. The Action is being voluntarily settled after advice of counsel and after Plaintiffs' Counsel have determined and believe that the terms of the Settlement are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class.

III. DEFENDANTS' DENIALS OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

Defendants have denied and continue to deny that they have committed any act or omission giving rise to any liability and/or violation of law, including under the U.S. securities laws. Defendants expressly have denied and continue to deny all charges of wrongdoing or liability against them arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts, or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Action. Defendants have also denied and continue to deny, *inter alia*, the allegations that Plaintiffs or the Class have suffered damages, or were otherwise harmed by the conduct alleged in this Action. Defendants have asserted and continue to assert that the Offering Documents contained no material misstatements or omissions. Defendants have asserted and continue to assert that, at all times, they acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in accordance with all applicable rules, regulations and laws. Each Defendant reserves all defenses to any claims that may be filed by any Person who opts out of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation.

Nonetheless, Defendants have determined that it is desirable and beneficial to them that the Action be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation to avoid the further expense, inconvenience, burden, and uncertainty of this Action, the distraction and diversion of personnel and resources, and to obtain the conclusive and complete dismissal and/or release of this Action and Released Claims.

Neither the Settlement nor any of its terms shall constitute an admission or finding of any fault, liability, wrongdoing, or damages whatsoever or any infirmity in the defenses that Defendants have, or could have, asserted. Defendants do not admit any liability or wrongdoing in connection with the allegations set forth in the Action, or any facts related thereto.

This Stipulation shall in no event be construed or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession on the part of any Defendant with respect to, any claim or of any fault or liability or wrongdoing or damage whatsoever, or any infirmity in the defenses that Defendants have asserted.

IV. TERMS OF THE STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, without any admission or concession on the part of Plaintiffs of any lack of merit of the Action whatsoever, and without any admission or concession of any liability or wrongdoing or lack of merit in the defenses whatsoever by Defendants, it is hereby STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the Parties to this Stipulation, through their undersigned attorneys, subject to approval by the Court, in consideration of the benefits flowing to the Parties hereto from the Settlement, that all Released Claims (as defined below) as against the Released Parties (as defined below) and all of Released Defendants' Claims (as defined below) shall be finally and fully compromised, settled, released, and discharged, upon and subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. **Definitions**

As used in this Stipulation, and in addition to the above-defined terms, the following terms shall have the meanings specified below:

- 1.1 "Action" means the consolidated action (consolidated by the Court on November 30, 2018) styled *Wolther v. Maheshwari*, Lead Case No. 18CV329690, pending in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara.
- 1.2 "Authorized Claimant" means a Class Member who submits a timely and valid Proof of Claim form to the Claims Administrator that is accepted for payment.
- 1.3 "Claims Administrator" means Gilardi & Co. LLC or such other entity as the Court shall appoint to administer the Settlement.

28

as described in ¶5.1.

- 1.14 "Final" with respect to the Judgment or any alternative judgment means: (i) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time provided for filing or petitioning for any appeal, or (ii) if there is an appeal from the Judgment or any alternative judgment, the date of (a) final dismissal of all such appeals, or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari or otherwise to review the Judgment, or (b) the date the Judgment or any alternative judgment is finally affirmed on appeal, and (1) the expiration of the time to file a petition for writ of certiorari or other form of review, (2) the denial of a writ of certiorari or other form of review is granted, the date of final affirmance of the Judgment or any alternative judgment following review pursuant to that grant. However, any appeal or appellate proceeding seeking subsequent judicial review solely of an order issued with respect to (i) attorneys' fees, costs or expenses, or (ii) the Plan of Allocation (as submitted or subsequently modified) shall not in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming Final.
- 1.15 "Judgment" means the judgment to be entered approving the Settlement, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B.
 - 1.16 "Merger" means Veeco's May 26, 2017 merger with Ultratech.
- 1.17 "Net Settlement Fund" means the Settlement Fund less: (i) Court awarded attorneys' fees; (ii) notice and administration expenses; (iii) any required Taxes; (iv) Court awarded litigation expenses; and (v) any other fees or expenses approved by the Court.
- 1.18 "Notice" means the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action, which is to be sent to members of the Class, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-1.
- 1.19 "Offering Documents" means the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with the Merger. For the avoidance of doubt, "Offering Documents" includes all versions of the registration statement and prospectus filed with the SEC on March 13, 2017, April 21, 2017, and April 24, 2017.

- 1.20 "Person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, association, joint stock company, limited liability company or corporation, professional corporation, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any business or legal entity and his, her or its spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assignees.
- 1.21 "Plaintiffs" means Matt Wolther, Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund, and Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund Lake County and Vicinity.
- 1.22 "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means those firms that have appeared on behalf of the Class in the Action: Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Bottini & Bottini, Inc., Hedin Hall LLP and Thornton Law Firm LLP.
- 1.23 "Plan of Allocation" means the plan described in the Notice or any alternate plan approved by the Court whereby the Net Settlement Fund (as defined above in ¶1.17) shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants. Any Plan of Allocation is not part of the Stipulation, and the Released Parties shall have no responsibility therefore or liability with respect thereto.
- 1.24 "Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed order preliminarily approving the Settlement and directing notice thereof to the Class, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 1.25 "Proof of Claim" means the Proof of Claim and Release, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-2.
- 1.26 "Related Parties" means each of a Defendant's or Ultratech's predecessors, successors, or past, present or future direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, sister corporations, divisions, affiliates, or joint ventures, as well as each of their respective present or former directors, officers, employees, partners, members, principals, agents, underwriters, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, controlling shareholders, attorneys, accountants, auditors, financial or investment advisors or consultants, banks or

investment bankers, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, assignors, spouses, heirs, related or affiliated entities, any entity in which a Defendant has a controlling interest, any member of any Individual Defendant's immediate family, any trust of which any Defendant is the settlor or which is for the benefit of any Defendant and/or member(s) of his or her family, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest or assigns of the Defendants.

- 1.27 "Released Claims" means all claims, including "Unknown Claims" as defined below, that both (i) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which could have been alleged in, referred to or made part of this Action, and (ii) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to the acquisition of Veeco common stock by Class Members pursuant or traceable to the Offering Documents issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 Merger with Ultratech. "Released Claims" also includes any and all claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Settlement or resolution of the Action against the Released Parties (including Unknown Claims), except claims to enforce any of the terms of this Stipulation. For the avoidance of doubt, "Released Claims" does not include any claims brought under the federal securities laws against Veeco that are unrelated to the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations, or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which could have been alleged in, referred to or made part of this Action.
- 1.28 "Released Defendants' Claims" means all claims, including "Unknown Claims" as defined below, that any Released Party may have against Plaintiffs, Class Members, or Plaintiffs' Counsel relating to the institution, prosecution, or settlement of the Action (except for claims to enforce any of the terms of this Stipulation).
 - 1.29 "Released Parties" means Defendants and each and all of their Related Parties.

1.30 "Settlement" means the settlement on the terms set forth in this Stipulation.

1.31 "Settlement Amount" means the sum of \$15,000,000 to be deposited into an Escrow Account pursuant to ¶3.1.

- 1.32 "Settlement Fairness Hearing" means the hearing scheduled by the Court to determine whether: (i) the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, (ii) the Plan of Allocation is fair, reasonable and adequate, and (iii) Class Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, including awards to Class Representatives, is reasonable.
- 1.33 "Settlement Fund" means the Settlement Amount plus any interest or income earned thereon.
- 1.34 "Summary Notice" means the summary notice of proposed Settlement and hearing for publication, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-3.
- 1.35 "Unknown Claims" means: (i) any and all claims and potential claims against the Released Parties which Plaintiffs or any Class Member do not know or suspect to exist in their, his, her, or its favor as of the Effective Date, including, without limitation, those that, if known by such Plaintiff or Class Member, might have affected his, her or its decision(s) with respect to the Settlement or the releases, including his, her or its decision(s) to object or not to object to the Settlement or to exclude himself, herself, or itself from the Class, and (ii) any claims against Plaintiffs which Defendants do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which if known by any of them, might have affected their, his, her, or its decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that by operation of the Final Judgment, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs and Defendants shall have expressly waived, and each Class Member shall be deemed to have waived, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have expressly waived, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Cal. Civ. Code §1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2627

25

28

EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY;

and any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to Cal. Civ. Code §1542. Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Defendants may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she, or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, but Plaintiffs and Defendants shall expressly fully, finally, and forever settle and release, and each Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released, any and all Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, disclosed or undisclosed, matured or unmatured, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law, or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. Plaintiffs and Defendants acknowledge, and Class Members shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims was separately bargained for and was an essential element of the Settlement of which these releases are a part.

2. Scope and Effect of Settlement

- 2.1 The obligations incurred pursuant to this Stipulation shall be in full and final disposition of: (i) this Action against Defendants; (ii) any and all Released Claims as against all Released Parties; and (iii) any and all Released Defendants' Claims.
- 2.2 (a) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, Plaintiffs and all Class Members, on behalf of themselves and any Person claiming through or on behalf of them, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released,

compromised, settled, resolved, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties, regardless of whether such Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim.

- (b) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, each and every Class Member and any Person claiming through or on behalf of them will be permanently and forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, administrative forum, or any other forum, asserting the Released Claims against the Released Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim.
- (c) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released and discharged Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel and each and all of the Class Members from each and every one of the Released Defendants' Claims.
- (d) The releases provided in this Stipulation shall become effective immediately upon occurrence of the Effective Date without the need for any further action, notice, condition or event.

3. The Settlement Consideration

In consideration of the full and final settlement of all claims asserted or that could have been asserted by any of the Plaintiffs or Class Members as against Defendants or any of the Released Parties, Veeco has agreed to cause its insurance carrier(s) to deposit the Settlement Amount into the Escrow Account in accordance with instructions to be provided by the Escrow Agent within thirty (30) calendar days of the later of: (i) the Court's order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement; and (ii) Class Counsel furnishing to Veeco's counsel an encrypted e-mail containing complete particulars for payment by wire transfer or check, and a W-9. The Parties agree that the Settlement Fund is intended to be a Qualified Settlement Fund within the meaning of Treasury Regulation §1.468B-1. The account funds, less any amounts incurred for notice, administration, and/or taxes, plus any accrued

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

21

24

25 26

27

28

interest thereon, shall revert to the Person(s) making the deposits if the Settlement does not become effective for any reason, including by reason of a termination of the Settlement pursuant to ¶10.4 herein. The Settlement Fund includes any interest earned thereon.

- 3.2 If the entire Settlement Amount is not timely paid to the Escrow Account, the unpaid balance shall accumulate interest at the rate of 5% per annum until paid.
- 3.3 Plaintiffs and Class Members shall look solely to the Settlement Fund as satisfaction of all claims that are released hereunder. Defendants shall have no obligation under this Stipulation or the Settlement to pay any additional amounts, and upon payment of the Settlement Amount set forth in ¶3.1, Defendants shall have no other obligation to pay, advance, fund, contribute or reimburse any fees, expenses, costs, liability or damages whatsoever alleged or incurred by Plaintiffs, by any Class Member, or by any of their attorneys, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives with respect to the Action, this Settlement or the Released Claims. Any award made by the Court pursuant to the Fee and Expense Application referred to in ¶5.1 hereof shall be paid exclusively from the Settlement Fund; any agreement between or among Plaintiffs' Counsel to divide fees, expenses, costs, or interest shall be between or among such Plaintiffs' Counsel only; and Defendants shall have no obligation or rights with respect to any allocation between or among Plaintiffs' Counsel, or with respect to any payment to any Plaintiffs' Counsel, of any fees, expenses, costs or interest, except in the event that the return of the Settlement Fund is required, consistent with the provisions of ¶¶5.1 and 10.4 herein. Plaintiffs and Class Members acknowledge that as of the Effective Date, the releases given herein shall become effective immediately by operation of the Final Judgment and shall be permanent, absolute, and unconditional.
- 3.4 The Settlement Fund, net of any Taxes (as defined below), shall be used to pay: (a) (i) the notice and administration costs, fees and expenses of the Settlement referred to in ¶4.2 hereof; (ii) any award made by the Court pursuant to the Fee and Expense Application referred to in ¶5.1 hereof; and (iii) the remaining administration expenses, fees and costs referred to in ¶4.2 hereof and any

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28

other attorney and administrative costs, fees, payments, or awards subsequently approved by the Court. The balance of the Settlement Fund after the above payments shall be the Net Settlement Fund, which shall be distributed to the Authorized Claimants as provided in ¶6.1-6.3 hereof. Any portions of the Settlement Fund required to be held in escrow prior to the Effective Date shall be held by the Escrow Agent for the Settlement Fund. The Settlement Fund held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed to be in the custody of the Court and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court until such time as the Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants, or returned to Defendants pursuant to this Stipulation and/or further order of the Court. The Escrow Agent shall not disburse the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, except as provided in this Stipulation, or upon Order of the Court. The Escrow Agent shall be responsible for investing the Settlement Fund in eligible investments, meaning obligations issued or guaranteed by the United States of America or any agency or instrumentality thereof, backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, or fully insured by the United States Government or an agency thereof, and the Escrow Agent shall reinvest the proceeds of these obligations or instruments as they mature in similar instruments at their then-current market rates. All risks related to the investment of the Settlement Fund in accordance with the investment guidelines set forth in this paragraph shall be borne by the Settlement Fund and in no case by any Released Party.

(b) For the purpose of §1.468B of the Internal Revenue Code and the Treasury regulations thereunder, the Escrow Agent shall be designated as the "administrator" of the Settlement Fund. The Escrow Agent shall timely and properly file all informational and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the Settlement Fund (including, without limitation, the returns described in Treas. Reg. §1.468B- 2(k)). Such returns (as well as the election described below) shall be consistent with this paragraph and in all events shall reflect that all Taxes (including any estimated Taxes, interest, or penalties) on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund as provided herein.

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

27

26

28

(c) All: (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned by the Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon Defendants or their Related Parties with respect to any income earned by the Settlement Fund for any period during which the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a "Qualified Settlement Fund" for federal or state income tax purposes; and (ii) all other tax expenses incurred in the operation of and implementation of this paragraph, including, without limitation, expenses of tax attorneys and/or accountants and mailing and distribution expenses related to filing or failing to file the returns described in this paragraph (collectively, "Taxes") shall promptly be paid out of the Settlement Fund by the Escrow Agent without prior order from the Court. The Escrow Agent shall also be obligated to, and shall be responsible for, withholding from distribution to Class Members any funds necessary to pay such amounts, including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes. The Parties agree to cooperate with the Escrow Agent, each other, and their tax attorneys and accountants to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this paragraph.

(d) Except to the extent Class Counsel are acting in their capacity as Escrow Agent, neither the Parties nor their counsel shall have any responsibility for or liability whatsoever with respect to: (i) any act, omission, or determination of the Escrow Agent or the Claims Administrator, or any of their respective designees or agents, in connection with the administration of the Settlement Fund or otherwise; (ii) the Plan of Allocation; (iii) the determination, administration, calculation, or payment of any claims asserted against the Settlement Fund; or (iv) the payment or withholding of any Taxes, expenses, and/or costs incurred in connection with the taxation of the Settlement Fund or the filing of any returns. The Escrow Agent, through the Settlement Fund, shall indemnify and hold each of the Released Parties and their counsel harmless for Taxes and tax expenses (including, without limitation, taxes payable by reason of any such indemnification).

Administration

4.1 The Claims Administrator shall administer and calculate the claims that shall be allowed and oversee distribution of the Net Settlement Fund pursuant to the Court's Orders and subject to such supervision of Class Counsel and/or the Court as the circumstances may require. The Claims

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the Settlement and the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation. Defendants, Defendants' Counsel and the other Released Parties shall have no role in, or responsibility for, the administration of the Settlement and shall have no liability to the Claims Administrator, Escrow Agent, Plaintiffs, the Class, or any other person in connection with, as a result of, or arising out of, such administration. The Claims Administrator will not make any distributions to Class Members from the Net Settlement Fund until the Judgment becomes Final and all the conditions described in ¶10.1 herein have been satisfied.

Administrator agrees to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the administration of

4.2 Prior to the Effective Date, Class Counsel may pay from the Settlement Fund, without further approval from Defendants or the Court, the reasonable costs and expenses up to the sum of \$500,000.00 associated with notice to the Class, and the administration of the Settlement, including, without limitation, the actual costs of notice, and the administrative expenses incurred and fees charged by the Claims Administrator in connection with providing notice and processing the submitted claims. Prior to the Effective Date, all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the administration of the Settlement in excess of \$500,000.00 shall be paid from the Settlement Fund subject to approval from the Court. After the Effective Date, all costs and expenses incurred and fees charged by the Claims Administrator in connection with the administration of the Settlement shall be paid from the Settlement Fund without further approval from Defendants or the Court. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Company shall provide or cause to be provided to Class Counsel and/or the Claims Administrator, at no cost, its shareholder lists as appropriate for providing notice to the Class. It shall be the Claims Administrator's sole responsibility to disseminate the Notice and Summary Notice to the Class in accordance with this Stipulation and as ordered by the Court. Class Members shall have no recourse as to the Released Parties with respect to any claims they may have that arise from any failure of the notice process.

27

5. Fee and Expense Application

5.1 Class Counsel will submit an application or applications (the "Fee and Expense
Application") to the Court for an award from the Settlement Fund of: (i) attorneys' fees and the
payment of litigation expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the Action, plus interest
on both amounts at the same rate and period as earned on the Settlement Fund (until paid); and (ii) an
award to Class Representatives pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §77z-1(a)(4) in connection with their
representation of the Class. Attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest as are awarded by the Court shall be
paid from the Settlement Fund to Class Counsel immediately upon entry by the Court of an order
awarding such amounts, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or
potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement or any part thereof. Class Counsel
may thereafter allocate such fees to Plaintiffs' Counsel subject to each Plaintiffs' Counsel's (including
their respective partners, shareholders and/or firms) several obligation to repay those amounts to the
Settlement Fund plus accrued interest at the same net rate as is earned by the Settlement Fund, as a
result of any appeal and/or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack, the fee or cost
award is reduced or reversed or return of the Settlement Fund is required consistent with the provisions
of ¶10.4 hereof. In such event, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall, within ten (10) business days from the event
which requires repayment of the fee or expense award, refund to the Settlement Fund the fee and
expense award paid to them, along with interest, as described above. Furthermore, all Plaintiffs'
Counsel (including their respective partners, shareholders, and/or firms) agree that they remain subject
to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court for the purpose of enforcing their obligation to repay required
attorneys' fees and expenses to the Settlement Fund as provided in this paragraph. Without limitation,
Plaintiffs' Counsel agree that the Court may, upon application of Defendants and notice to Plaintiffs'
Counsel, summarily issue orders, including, but not limited to, judgments and attachment orders, and

may make appropriate findings of or sanctions for contempt, should Plaintiffs' Counsel fail to timely repay fees and expenses pursuant to this ¶5.1.

- 5.2 This Settlement is not contingent on the allowance or disallowance by the Court of the Fee and Expense Application or any minimum or specific amount of attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, or awards to Class Representatives. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Stipulation to the contrary, the Fee and Expense Application, which must be paid out of the Settlement Fund under the terms of this Stipulation, shall be considered by the Court separate and apart from its consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, and any order or proceeding pertaining solely to the Fee and Expense Application, or any appeal of any order pertaining solely thereto or reversal or modification thereof, shall not operate to, or be grounds to, terminate or cancel this Stipulation or the Settlement of the Action, or affect or delay the finality of the Judgment approving this Settlement.
- 5.3 Defendants and the Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability whatsoever with respect to, any payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel and/or any other Person who receives payment from the Settlement Fund.
- 5.4 Defendants and the Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability whatsoever with respect to, the allocation among Plaintiffs' Counsel and/or any Person who may assert some claim thereto, of any Fee and Expense Award that the Court may order in the Action.
- 5.5 The Class Representatives may submit an application for an award pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §77z-1(a)(4) in connection with their representation of the Class. However, in the event that the Effective Date does not occur, or the Judgment or the order approving Class Representatives' application for an award is reversed or modified, or the Stipulation is cancelled or terminated for any other reason, and such reversal, modification, cancellation, or termination becomes final and not subject to review, then Class Representatives shall, within fifteen (15) business days from receiving notice from

Defendants' Counsel or from a court of appropriate jurisdiction, refund to the Settlement Fund such amounts previously paid to them from the Settlement Fund in an amount consistent with such reversal or modification.

6. Distribution to Authorized Claimants

- 6.1 The Claims Administrator shall determine each Authorized Claimant's *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund based upon each Authorized Claimant's Recognized Claim as defined in the Plan of Allocation described in the Notice annexed hereto as Exhibit A-1, or in such other Plan of Allocation as the Court approves.
- 6.2 The Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is not a necessary term of this Stipulation and it is not a condition of this Stipulation that any particular Plan of Allocation be approved. The Released Parties will take no position with respect to the proposed Plan of Allocation or such Plan of Allocation as may be approved by the Court. The Plan of Allocation is a matter separate and apart from the Settlement between the Parties and any decision by the Court concerning the Plan of Allocation shall not affect the validity or finality of the proposed Settlement.
- 6.3 Each Authorized Claimant shall be allocated a *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his or her Recognized Claim compared to the total Recognized Claims of all accepted claimants. The Settlement is non-recapture, *i.e.*, it is not a claims-made settlement. Defendants shall not be entitled to get back any of the settlement monies, or interest earned thereon, once the Judgment becomes Final and all the conditions set forth in ¶10.1 herein have been satisfied. The Released Parties shall have no involvement in reviewing, evaluating, or challenging claims and shall have no responsibility or liability for determining the allocation of any payments to any Class Members or for any other matters pertaining to the Plan of Allocation.

7. Administration of the Settlement

- 7.1 Within ninety (90) calendar days after such time as set by the Court to mail notice to the Class, each Person claiming to be an Authorized Claimant shall be required to submit to the Claims Administrator a completed Proof of Claim, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-2 and as approved by the Court, signed under penalty of perjury and supported by such documents as are specified in the Proof of Claim and as are reasonably available to the Authorized Claimant.
- 7.2 Except as otherwise ordered by the Court, all Class Members who fail to timely submit a Proof of Claim within such period, or such other period as may be ordered by the Court, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments pursuant to the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth herein, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of the Stipulation, the releases contained herein, and the Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Class Counsel have the discretion (but not the obligation) to accept for processing late submitted claims so long as the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants is not materially delayed. No Person shall have any claim against Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, or the Claims Administrator by reason of the exercise or non-exercise of such discretion.
- 7.3 Each Proof of Claim shall be submitted to and reviewed by the Claims Administrator, under the supervision of Class Counsel, who shall determine, in accordance with this Stipulation and the approved Plan of Allocation, the extent, if any, to which each claim shall be allowed, subject to review by the Court pursuant to ¶7.5 below.
- 7.4 Proofs of Claim that do not meet the submission requirements may be rejected. Prior to rejecting a Proof of Claim in whole or in part, the Claims Administrator shall communicate with the claimant in writing to give the claimant the chance to remedy any curable deficiencies in the Proof of Claim submitted. The Claims Administrator, under the supervision of Class Counsel, shall notify, in a timely fashion and in writing, all claimants whose claims the Claims Administrator proposes to reject in

whole or in part for curable deficiencies, setting forth the reasons therefor, and shall indicate in such notice that the claimant whose claim is to be rejected has the right to a review by the Court if the claimant so desires and complies with the requirements of ¶7.5 below.

- 7.5 If any claimant whose claim has been rejected in whole or in part for curable deficiency desires to contest such rejection, the claimant must, within twenty (20) calendar days after the date of mailing of the notice required in ¶7.4 above, or a lesser period of time if the claim was untimely, serve upon the Claims Administrator a notice and statement of reasons indicating the claimant's grounds for contesting the rejection along with any supporting documentation, and requesting a review thereof by the Court. If a dispute concerning a claim cannot be otherwise resolved, Class Counsel shall thereafter present the claimant's request for review to the Court.
- 7.6 Each claimant who declines to be excluded from the Class shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the claimant's claim, including, but not limited to, all releases provided for herein and in the Judgment, and the claim will be subject to investigation and discovery under the California Code of Civil Procedure, provided that such investigation and discovery shall be limited to the claimant's status as a Class Member and the validity and amount of the claimant's claim. In connection with processing the Proofs of Claim, no discovery shall be allowed from any Person on the merits of the Action or the Settlement.
- 7.7 No Person shall have any claim against the Released Parties, Defendants' Counsel, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, or the Claims Administrator, or any other Person designated by Class Counsel based on determinations or distributions made substantially in accordance with this Stipulation and the Settlement contained herein, the Plan of Allocation, or further order(s) of the Court.
- 7.8 The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants substantially in accordance with the Plan of Allocation described in the Notice and approved by the Court. If there is any balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund after six (6) months from the date of distribution of

the Settlement Fund (whether by reason of tax refunds, uncashed checks, or otherwise), the Claims Administrator shall, if economically feasible, reallocate such balance among Authorized Claimants in an equitable and economic fashion. These redistributions will be repeated until the balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund is no longer economically reasonable, in Class Counsel's discretion, to distribute to Class Members. Thereafter, subject to distribution to state entities as required by California Code of Civil Procedure §384(b)(3), any balance that still remains in the Net Settlement Fund shall be donated to the Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County.

- 7.9 Except for Veeco's obligation to pay the Settlement Amount or cause it to be paid, if applicable, Defendants, Defendants' Counsel and the Released Parties shall have no liability, obligation, or responsibility whatsoever for the administration of the Settlement or disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund. Class Counsel shall have the right, but not the obligation, to advise the Claims Administrator to waive what Class Counsel reasonably deems to be formal or technical defects in any Proofs of Claim submitted, including, without limitation, failure to submit a document by the submission deadline, in the interests of achieving substantial justice.
- 7.10 All proceedings with respect to the administration, processing and determination of claims and the determination of all controversies relating thereto, including disputed questions of law and fact with respect to the validity of claims, shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 7.11 The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed by the Claims Administrator to, or for the account of, Authorized Claimants, as the case may be, only after the Effective Date and after: (i) all claims have been processed, and all claimants whose claims have been rejected or disallowed, in whole or in part, have been notified and provided the opportunity to be heard concerning such rejection or disallowance; (ii) all objections with respect to all rejected or disallowed claims have been resolved by the Court, and all appeals therefrom have been resolved or the time therefor has expired; and (iii) all

matters with respect to the Fee and Expense Application have been resolved by the Court, all appeals therefrom have been resolved, or the time therefor has expired.

8. Terms of Preliminary Approval Order

8.1 Promptly after this Stipulation has been fully executed, Class Counsel shall apply to the Court by motion on notice for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A. Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel shall jointly request that the postmark deadline for objecting to or submitting exclusions from this Settlement be set at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date for the initial mailing of the Notice as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. Upon receiving any request(s) for exclusion ("Request for Exclusion"), the Claims Administrator shall promptly notify Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel of such Requests for Exclusion.

8.2 Any Class Member who wishes to opt out of the Class must submit a timely written Request for Exclusion on or before the opt-out date, in the manner specified in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order. A Request for Exclusion is valid only if it is signed by the Class Member or Class Members requesting exclusion in that request. Any Class Member who does not submit a timely written Request for Exclusion will be bound by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action, whether or not he, she, or it timely submits a Proof of Claim.

9. Terms of Judgment

9.1 If the Settlement contemplated by this Stipulation is approved by the Court, Class Counsel shall request that the Court enter a Judgment, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit B.

10. Effective Date of Settlement, Waiver or Termination

10.1 The Effective Date of Settlement shall be the date when all of the following shall have occurred:

- (a) the Court has entered the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A;
- (b) the Settlement Amount has been deposited into the Escrow Account pursuant to ¶3.1;
- (c) Defendants have not exercised their option to terminate this Settlement pursuant to \$10.3;
 - (d) final approval by the Court of the Settlement, following notice to the Class; and
- (e) entry by the Court of a Judgment, or a judgment substantially in the form of Exhibit B annexed hereto, that has become Final.
- 10.2 Each of the Class Representatives and each of the Defendants, through their respective counsel, shall, in each of their separate discretions, but in all events subject to ¶5.2 herein, have the right to terminate the Settlement and this Stipulation, as to themselves, by providing written notice of their election to do so ("Termination Notice") to all other Parties hereto within twenty (20) business days of: (a) the Court's refusal to enter the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form of Exhibit A annexed hereto, whether or not the Court's refusal is in an appealable order; (b) the Court's refusal to approve this Stipulation or any material part of it (except as to any decision by the Court concerning any Fee and Expense Award); (c) the Court's refusal to enter the Judgment in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit B or the date on which any court of appeal affirms, or does not reverse, any appealable refusal by the Court to enter the Judgment in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit B; (d) the date on which the Judgment is modified or reversed by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect except to the extent that the only modification or reversal by the court of appeal or higher court pertains solely to the Fee and Expense Award; or (e) in the event that the Court enters an order giving preliminary approval that is not substantially in the form of Exhibit A annexed hereto or enters a judgment in a form that is not substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B,

and none of the Parties elects to terminate this Settlement, the date that such order or judgment is modified or reversed by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect.

Plaintiffs and Defendants, by and through their counsel, Defendants may terminate the Settlement and render it null and void in the event that members of the Class who collectively acquired more than a certain amount of Veeco common stock in the Merger exclude themselves from the Class. The Parties agree to maintain the confidentiality of the Supplemental Agreement. The Supplemental Agreement will not be filed with the Court unless required by the Court, Court rule or unless and until a dispute as between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning its interpretation or application arises. If submission of the Supplemental Agreement is ordered by the Court or is necessary to resolve a dispute between Plaintiffs and Defendants, the Parties will seek to have the Supplemental Agreement submitted to the Court *in camera* or filed under seal, but such disclosure shall be carried out to the fullest extent possible in accordance with the practices of the Court so as to preserve the confidentiality of the Supplemental Agreement, particularly the threshold aggregate number of shares.

Except as otherwise provided herein, in the event the Settlement is terminated in accordance herewith, the Judgment is vacated, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason, then the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action immediately prior to the execution of this Stipulation, the fact and terms of the Settlement shall not be admissible, used, or referenced in any trial of the Action, and, except as otherwise expressly provided, the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if this Stipulation and any related orders had not been entered, and any portion of the Settlement Amount previously paid by or on behalf of Defendants, together with any interest earned thereon (and, if applicable, re-payment of any attorneys' fee and expense award referred to in \$\\$5.1\$ hereof), less any Taxes due, if any, with respect to such income, and less costs of administration and notice actually incurred and paid or payable from the Settlement Amount (not to exceed \$500,000).

without the prior approval of the Court) shall be returned to the party, parties, or insurer that paid the Settlement Amount as directed by Veeco within ten (10) business days from the date of the event causing such termination. No order of the Court or modification or reversal on appeal of any order of the Court concerning the Plan of Allocation or the amount of any attorneys' fees, costs, expenses and interest awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel or the amount of any award or expenses by the Court to Class Representatives shall constitute grounds for termination of the Settlement.

11. No Admission of Wrongdoing

- 11.1 Defendants deny that they have committed any act or omission giving rise to any liability and/or violation of law, and state that they are entering into this Settlement to eliminate the burden and expense of further litigation. This Stipulation, whether or not consummated, including any and all of its terms, provisions, exhibits, and prior drafts, and any negotiations or proceedings related or taken pursuant to it:
- (a) Shall not be offered or received against Defendants or the Released Parties as evidence of, or evidence supporting a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against Defendants, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; provided, however, that if this Stipulation is approved by the Court and becomes effective pursuant to its terms, Defendants may refer to it to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder, and nothing in this Settlement shall restrict the ability of any Party hereto to advocate in favor or against the applicability of any offset to any claims asserted in any other action based on any amount paid herein;
- (b) Shall not be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against Plaintiffs or any of the Class Members that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by Defendants have any merit, or that damages recoverable under the

Complaint in this Action or any subsequent operative complaint filed in this Action would not have exceeded the Settlement Fund; and

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendants, Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or the Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or the Final Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

12. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 12.1 All of the exhibits attached hereto are material and integral parts hereof and are fully incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 12.2 The Parties intend the Settlement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes asserted or which could be asserted by Plaintiffs and/or any Class Member against the Released Parties with respect to the Released Claims. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree not to assert in any forum that the litigation was brought by Plaintiffs or defended by Defendants in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. The Parties further agree not to assert in any forum that any party violated California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7 relating to the prosecution, defense, or settlement of the Action. The Parties agree that the amount paid and the other terms of the Settlement were negotiated at arm's-length in good faith by the Parties, and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with experienced legal counsel and assisted by an experienced mediator.
- 12.3 This Stipulation may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived, except by a writing signed by all Parties hereto.
- 12.4 The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.

- 12.5 The administration and consummation of the Settlement as embodied in this Stipulation shall be under the authority of the Court, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of entering orders relating to the Fee and Expense Application, the Plan of Allocation and enforcing the terms of this Stipulation.
- 12.6 This Stipulation shall not constitute a consent to service or to the jurisdiction of this Court or any other court for any purpose, including any other matter concerning the Released Claims, and shall not be construed as such, other than for the sole and limited purpose of the Settlement and the enforcement of its terms.
- 12.7 The waiver by one party of any breach of this Stipulation by any other party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.
- 12.8 This Stipulation and its exhibits and the Supplemental Agreement constitute the entire agreement among the Parties hereto concerning the Settlement of the Action, and no representations, warranties, or inducements have been made by any Party hereto concerning this Stipulation and its exhibits other than the representations, warranties, and covenants contained and memorialized in such documents.
- 12.9 This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts and the signatures may be by facsimile, or electronically. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument provided that counsel for the Parties shall exchange among themselves original signed counterparts.
- 12.10 This Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors, assigns, executors, administrators, heirs and legal representatives of the Parties hereto. No assignment shall relieve any Party hereto of obligations hereunder.
- 12.11 The construction, interpretation, operation, effect and validity of this Stipulation, and all documents necessary to effectuate it, shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without

regard to conflicts of laws, except to the extent that federal law requires that federal law governs, and in accordance with the laws of the United States.

- 12.12 This Stipulation shall not be construed more strictly against one Party than another merely by virtue of the fact that it, or any part of it, may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Parties, it being recognized that it is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties and all Parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.
- 12.13 All counsel and any other person executing this Stipulation and any of the exhibits hereto, or any related settlement documents, warrant and represent that they have the full authority to do so and that they have the authority to take appropriate action required or permitted to be taken pursuant to the Stipulation to effectuate its terms.
- 12.14 The Settlement contemplated herein is not subject to or contingent upon confirmatory discovery or other additional discovery beyond that already undertaken in the Action.
- 12.15 Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agree to cooperate reasonably with one another in seeking Court approval of the order for notice and hearing, the Stipulation and the Settlement, and to promptly agree upon and execute all such other documentation as may be reasonably required to obtain final approval by the Court of the Settlement.
- 12.16 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Action relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Stipulation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Stipulation to be executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, dated November 30, 2021.

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART JAMES I. JACONETTE

JAMES I. JACONETTE

1	655 West Dreadway Suite 1000
2	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
3	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)
4	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIŞ A. BOTTINI, JR.
5	YURY A.KOLESNIKOV Arunis G. Bottom J.
6	FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR.
7	7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102
8	La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001
9	858/914-2002 (fax)
10	Class Counsel
11	HEDIN HALL LLP DAVID W. HALL
12	Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94104
13	Telephone: 415/766-3534 415/402-0058 (fax)
14	THORNTON LAW FIRM LLP
15	GUILLAUME BUELL 1 Lincoln Street, 25th Floor
16	Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: 617/531-3933
17	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs
18	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
19	MATTHEW W. CLOSE JONATHAN B. WAXMAN
20	MOSHE PETERS
21	
22	MATTHEW W. CLOSE
23	400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
24	Telephone: 213/430-6000 213/430-6407 fax
25	Attorneys for Defendants Veeco Instruments, Inc.,
26	Shubham Maheshwari, John R. Peeler, John P. Kiernan, Kathleen A. Bayless, Richard A. D'Amore,
27	Gordon Hunter, Keith D. Jackson, Peter J. Simone, and Thomas St. Dennis
28	

1	
2	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
3	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)
4	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. YURY A. KOLESNIKOV
5	TURT A. KOLESNIKOV
6	FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR.
7	7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102
8	La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax)
9	Class Counsel
10	HEDIN HALL LLP
11	DAVID W. HALL Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
12	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/766-3534
13	415/402-0058 (fax)
14	THORNTON LAW FIRM LLP
15	GUILLAUME BUELL 1 Lincoln Street, 25th Floor
16	Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: 617/531-3933
17	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs
18	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
19	MATTHEW W. CLOSE JONATHAN B. WAXMAN
20	MOSHE PETERS
21	MATTHEW W. CLOSE
22	400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
23	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213/430-6000
24	213/430-6407 fax
25	Attorneys for Defendants Veeco Instruments, Inc.,
26	Shubham Maheshwari, John R. Peeler, John P. Kiernan, Kathleen A. Bayless, Richard A. D'Amore, Condon Hynton, Kaith D. Jackson, Peter, I. Simone
27	Gordon Hunter, Keith D. Jackson, Peter J. Simone, and Thomas St. Dennis
28	

INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO AMENDED STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

DOCUMENT	EXHIBIT
[Proposed] Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice	A
Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action	A-1
Proof of Claim and Release	A-2
Summary Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action	
[Proposed] Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement	В



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892) JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com jamesj@rgrdlaw.com BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783) YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax) fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com Class Counsel			
13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
151617	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff,	Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and No. 18CV332644))		
18	VS.) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)		
19	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,	(PROPOSED) ORDER PRELIMINARILYAPPROVING SETTLEMENT ANDPROVIDING FOR NOTICE		
20	Defendants.)) EXHIBIT A		
21		Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni		
22		Dept.: 1		
23		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

[PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE

- 3. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement with or without modification and with or without further notice to the Class and may adjourn the Settlement Fairness Hearing without further notice to the Class. The Court reserves the right to enter the Judgment approving the Stipulation regardless of whether it has approved the Plan of Allocation, Class Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses and Class Representatives' request for payment for their representation of the Class.
- 4. The Court approves the form, substance and requirements of the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice"), the Proof of Claim and Release ("Proof of Claim"), and the Summary Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Summary Notice"), annexed hereto as Exhibits A-1, A-2 and A-3, respectively.
- 5. The Court approves the appointment of Gilardi & Co. LLC as the Claims Administrator to supervise and administer the notice procedure in connection with the proposed Settlement as well as the processing of Proofs of Claim as more fully set forth below.
- 6. The Claims Administrator shall cause the Notice and the Proof of Claim, substantially in the forms annexed hereto, to be mailed, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, within twenty-one (21) calendar days of entry of this Preliminary Approval Order ("Notice Date") to all Class Members who can be identified with reasonable effort. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of entry of this Preliminary Approval Order, Veeco, at its expense, shall provide and/or cause its transfer agent to provide Class Counsel and/or the Claims Administrator with a shareholder list in an electronically reliable format, that identifies Persons who acquired Veeco common stock in exchange for Ultratech common stock pursuant to the registration statement and prospectus in connection with Veeco's Merger with Ultratech. This information shall be kept confidential and shall not be used for any purpose other than to provide the notice contemplated by this Order.
- (a) The Claims Administrator shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to nominee purchasers such as brokerage firms and other persons or entities who acquired Veeco common stock in exchange for Ultratech common stock pursuant to the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 Merger with Ultratech as record owners but not as beneficial owners. Such nominee purchasers are directed, within fourteen (14) business days of their receipt of the

Notice, to either forward copies of the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners or to provide the Claims Administrator with lists of the names and addresses of the beneficial owners, and the Claims Administrator is ordered to send the Notice and Proof of Claim promptly to such identified beneficial owners.

- (b) Nominee purchasers who elect to send the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners shall send a statement to the Claims Administrator confirming that the mailing was made as directed. Additional copies of the Notice shall be made available to any record holder requesting such for the purpose of distribution to beneficial owners, and such record holders shall be reimbursed from the Settlement Fund, upon receipt by the Claims Administrator of proper documentation, for the reasonable expense of sending the Notice and Proof of Claim to beneficial owners.
- 7. The Claims Administrator shall cause the Summary Notice to be published once in the national edition of *The Wall Street Journal*, and once over a national newswire service, within ten (10) calendar days of the Notice Date.
- 8. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of the Notice Date, the Claims Administrator shall post the Stipulation, Notice and Proof of Claim on the www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com website.
- 9. Within two (2) business days of filing, the Claims Administrator shall post all papers in support of final approval of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and request for attorneys' fees and expenses and awards to Class Representatives on www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com.
- 10. Class Counsel shall, at least seven (7) calendar days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing, file with the Court and serve on the Parties proof of mailing of the Notice and Proof of Claim and proof of publication of the Summary Notice.
- 11. The form and content of the Notice and the Summary Notice, and the method set forth herein of notifying the Class of the Settlement and its terms and conditions, meet the requirements of California law and due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

- 12. In order to be entitled to participate in the Net Settlement Fund, in the event the Settlement is consummated in accordance with its terms set forth in the Stipulation, each Class Member shall take the following actions and be subject to the following conditions:
- (a) Within ninety (90) calendar days of the Notice Date, each Person claiming to be an Authorized Claimant shall be required to submit to the Claims Administrator a completed Proof of Claim, substantially in a form contained in Exhibit A-2 attached hereto and as approved by the Court, signed under penalty of perjury and supported by such documents as are specified in the Proof of Claim and as are reasonably available to the Authorized Claimant.
- (b) Except as otherwise ordered by the Court, all Class Members who fail to timely submit a Proof of Claim within such period, or such other period as may be ordered by the Court, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments pursuant to the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth therein, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of the Stipulation, the releases contained therein, and the Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Class Counsel may, in their discretion, accept for processing late-submitted claims so long as the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants is not materially delayed. No Person shall have any claim against Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, the Released Parties, Defendants' Counsel or the Claims Administrator by reason of the decision to exercise such discretion with regard to acceptance of late-submitted claims.
- (c) As part of the Proof of Claim, each Class Member shall submit to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the claim submitted, and shall (subject to effectuation of the Settlement) release all Released Claims as provided in the Stipulation.
- 13. Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, unless they request exclusion from the Class in a timely and proper manner, as hereinafter provided. A Class Member wishing to make such request shall, no later than sixty (60) calendar days after the Notice Date, mail a request for exclusion in written form by first-class mail postmarked to the address designated in the Notice. Such request for exclusion shall clearly indicate the name, address, and telephone number of the Person seeking exclusion, that the sender requests to be excluded from the Class, and must be signed by such Person. Such Persons requesting

(d) Any member of the Class can also appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing to make an oral objection, without submitting a written objection.

- (e) Any member of the Class who does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to the Plan of Allocation, and to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Class Representatives' request for payment, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
- 15. All papers in support of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and any application by Plaintiffs' Counsel for attorneys' fees and expenses and payment to Class Representatives shall be filed fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the deadline in paragraph 14 for objections to be filed. All reply papers shall be filed and served at least seven (7) calendar days prior to the Settlement Fairness Hearing.
- 16. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodia legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Stipulation and/or further order(s) of the Court.
- 17. The passage of title and ownership of the Settlement Fund to the Escrow Agent in accordance with the terms and obligations of the Stipulation is approved.
- 18. Defendants' Counsel and Plaintiffs' Counsel shall promptly furnish each other with copies of any and all objections that come into their possession.
- 19. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, the Plaintiffs, all Class Members, and each of them, and anyone who acts or purports to act on their behalf, shall not institute, commence, maintain or prosecute, and are hereby barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining or prosecuting, any action, directly or indirectly, in any court or tribunal that asserts Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 20. All reasonable expenses incurred in identifying and notifying Class Members, as well as administering the Settlement Fund, shall be paid as set forth in the Stipulation and herein. In the event the Settlement is not approved by the Court, or otherwise fails to become effective, neither Plaintiffs nor

1	any of their counsel shall have any obligation to repay any amounts actually and properly disbursed
2	from the Settlement Fund, except as provided for in the Stipulation.
3	21. If any specified condition to the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is not satisfied and
4	Plaintiffs or Defendants elect to terminate the Settlement, then, in any such event, the Stipulation,
5	including any amendment(s) thereof, shall be null and void and of no further force or effect (except to
6	the extent otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation), without prejudice to any party, and may not
7	be introduced as evidence or referred to in this Action, or any action or proceeding by any person or
8	entity for any purpose, and each Party shall be restored to his, her or its respective position as it existed
9	on July 7, 2021.
10	22. The Court may adjourn or continue the Settlement Fairness Hearing without further
11	written notice.
12	23. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the Action to consider all further matters
13	arising out of or connected with the Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such
14	modifications as may be agreed by the Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Class.
15	DATED:
16	THE HONORABLE SUNIL R. KULKARNI JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	JUDGE OF THE SUFERIOR COURT
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A-1

1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN	
2	& DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892)	
3	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
5	619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com	
6	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
7	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783)	
8	YURY A. KOLESNIKÓV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102	
9	La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001	
10	858/914-2002 (fax) fbottini@bottinilaw.com	
11	ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com	
12	Class Counsel	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF	F SANTA CLARA
15	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Lead Case No. 18CV329690(Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and
16	Plaintiff,) No. 18CV332644)
17	vs.) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)
18	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,) NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
19	Defendants.	EXHIBIT A-1
20		Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni
21		Dept.: 1 Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

TO: ALL PERSONS WHO ACQUIRED VEECO INSTRUMENTS, INC. ("VEECO" OR THE "COMPANY") COMMON STOCK IN EXCHANGE FOR ULTRATECH, INC. ("ULTRATECH") COMMON STOCK PURSUANT TO THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT AND PROSPECTUS (THE "OFFERING DOCUMENTS") ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH VEECO'S MAY 26, 2017 MERGER WITH ULTRATECH ("MERGER")

IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR A SETTLEMENT PAYMENT, YOU MUST TIMELY SUBMIT A PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM ("PROOF OF CLAIM") BY , 2022, AS DESCRIBED MORE FULLY BELOW.

THIS NOTICE WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT. IT IS NOT A LAWYER SOLICITATION. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY.

WHY SHOULD I READ THIS NOTICE?

This Notice is given pursuant to an order issued by the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara ("Court"). This Notice serves to inform you of the proposed settlement of the above-captioned class action lawsuit ("Settlement") and the hearing ("Settlement Fairness Hearing") to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, as set forth in the Amended Stipulation of Settlement dated November 30, 2021 ("Stipulation"), by and between Class Representatives Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund and Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund – Lake County and Vicinity (collectively, "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and the Class (as defined below), and Defendants Veeco, Shubham Maheshwari, John R. Peeler, John P. Kiernan, Kathleen A. Bayless, Richard A. D'Amore, Gordon Hunter, Keith D. Jackson, Peter J. Simone, and Thomas St. Dennis (collectively, "Defendants"). 1

This Notice is intended to inform you about how this lawsuit and proposed Settlement may affect your rights and what steps you may take in relation to it. This Notice is NOT an expression of any opinion by the Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses asserted in the lawsuit or whether Defendants engaged in any wrongdoing.

WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

I. THE ALLEGATIONS

Veeco designs and manufactures thin film equipment used to make electronic devices. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated §§11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") by reason of material misrepresentations and omissions in the Offering Documents issued in connection with Veeco's merger with Ultratech in May 2017. Specially, Plaintiffs allege that the Offering Documents misrepresented and omitted material facts about Veeco's and Ultratech's businesses and the competitive landscape in China, including that: (1) Veeco was being decimated by one of its main competitors, AMEC; (2) several factors were making it very difficult for Veeco to compete in China, including in the MOCVD² market (e.g., increased pricing pressure and reduced margins); (3) Veeco was already in an acrimonious IP dispute with AMEC and its supplier, SGL; (4) the Chinese government's role in the China market made it very difficult for Veeco to retain market

The Stipulation can be viewed and/or downloaded at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com. All capitalized terms used herein have the same meaning as the terms defined in the Stipulation.

² "MOCVD" refers to metal organic chemical vapor deposition equipment.

share; and (5) many risks that Veeco characterized as hypothetical had already materialized at the time of the Merger.

Defendants deny all of Plaintiffs' allegations and deny that there was any violation of the Securities Act.

THE COURT HAS NOT RULED AS TO WHETHER DEFENDANTS ARE LIABLE. THIS NOTICE IS NOT INTENDED TO BE AN EXPRESSION OF ANY OPINION BY THE COURT WITH RESPECT TO THE TRUTH OF THE ALLEGATIONS IN THIS ACTION OR THE MERITS OF THE CLAIMS OR DEFENSES ASSERTED. THIS NOTICE IS SOLELY TO ADVISE YOU OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF THIS ACTION AND YOUR RIGHTS IN CONNECTION WITH THAT SETTLEMENT.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Commencing on June 8, 2018, three related actions were filed against Defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara ("Court").

On November 30, 2018, the Court consolidated the three actions and appointed Bottini & Bottini, Inc. and Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP as co-lead counsel for Plaintiffs. On December 11, 2018, Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint ("Complaint"). On January 10, 2019, Defendants filed a demurrer to the Complaint. By order dated May 3, 2019, the Court overruled the demurrer in its entirety.

On August 28, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. Defendants took discovery in connection with that motion, including propounding interrogatories and requests for production of documents and deposing representatives of each of the Class Representatives. By order dated April 14, 2021, the Court granted the motion certifying the Class, appointing Plaintiffs Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund and Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund – Lake County and Vicinity as co-class representatives and appointing Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Bottini & Bottini, Inc. as co-class counsel.

Following the resolution of the demurrer, the Parties have engaged in extensive discovery efforts. In response to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, Veeco has produced and Plaintiffs' Counsel have reviewed over 182,000 pages of documents. The Parties also engaged in numerous meet-and-confer conferences regarding discovery and several informal discovery conferences with the Court.

On May 27, 2020, the Parties participated in a Zoom mediation before the Honorable Jay C. Gandhi (Ret.) of JAMS. Prior to the mediation, the Parties prepared, exchanged and provided to Judge Gandhi detailed mediation statements and exhibits setting forth their respective positions on the merits and damages. Although the Parties negotiated in good faith, no settlement was reached and litigation continued. In June 2021, the Parties renewed their efforts to resolve the case. On June 23, 2021, the Parties attended a second full-day Zoom mediation with Judge Gandhi. The Parties exchanged and provided to Judge Gandhi updated mediation statements and exhibits prior to that mediation. Although no agreement was reached at the June 23, 2021 mediation session, negotiations continued through Judge Gandhi. Thereafter, Judge Gandhi presented a mediator's proposal for the monetary terms for a settlement of the Action on a class-wide basis. On July 7, 2021, the Parties accepted the mediator's proposal and thereafter engaged in negotiations regarding the complete terms of the Settlement, which are set forth in the Stipulation and which are subject to approval by the Court.

HOW DO I KNOW IF I AM A CLASS MEMBER?

If you acquired Veeco common stock in exchange for your Ultratech common stock in the Merger between the companies, you are a Class Member. As set forth in the Stipulation, excluded from the Class are: Defendants, the officers and directors of Veeco and Ultratech (at all relevant times),

1	members of their immediate families, and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns, and any entity in which any Defendant has a majority ownership. Also excluded from the Class are those
2 3	Persons who would otherwise be Class Members but who timely and validly exclude themselves therefrom.
4	PLEASE NOTE: Receipt of this Notice does not mean that you are a Class Member or that you will be entitled to receive a payment from the Settlement. If you are a Class Member and you wish
5	to be eligible to participate in the distribution of proceeds from the Settlement, you are required to submit the Proof of Claim that is being distributed with this Notice and the required supporting documentation as set forth therein postmarked or submitted online on or before , 2022.
6	WHAT IS THE MONETARY VALUE OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?
7	WHAT IS THE MONETARY VALUE OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?
8	The Settlement, if approved, will result in the creation of a cash settlement fund of \$15,000,000 ("Settlement Fund"). The Settlement Fund, plus accrued interest and minus the costs of this Notice and all costs associated with the administration of the Settlement Fund, as well as attorneys' fees and
9	expenses, and the payment to Class Representatives for representing the Class, as approved by the Court ("Net Settlement Fund"), will be distributed to eligible Class Members pursuant to the Plan of Allocation that is described in the next section of this Notice.
11	WHAT IS THE PROPOSED PLAN OF ALLOCATION?
12	The objective of the Plan of Allocation is to equitably distribute the Net Settlement Fund among
13	Class Members based on their respective economic losses resulting from the alleged securities law violations set forth in the Complaint.
14	The Claims Administrator shall determine each Class Member's share of the Net Settlement
15	Fund based upon the recognized loss formula ("Recognized Claim") described below. A Recognized Claim will be calculated for each share of Veeco common stock acquired in the Merger. The calculation of a Recognized Claim will depend upon several factors, including the number of shares
16	acquired, whether the shares were ever sold, and, if so, when they were sold and for what amounts. The Recognized Claim is not intended to estimate the amount a Class Member might have been able to
17 18	recover after a trial, nor to estimate the amount that will be paid to Class Members pursuant to the Settlement. The Recognized Claim is the basis upon which the Net Settlement Fund will be proportionately allocated to Class Members.
19	Your share of the Net Settlement Fund will depend on the number of valid Proofs of Claim that
20	Class Members send in and how many shares of Veeco common stock you acquired in the Merger, and whether you sold any of those shares and when you sold them.
21	The calculation of claims below is not an estimate of the amount you will receive. It is a
22	formula for allocating the Net Settlement Fund among all Authorized Claimants. Furthermore, if any of the formulas set forth below yield an amount less than \$0.00, the claim per share is \$0.00.
23	<u>PLAN OF ALLOCATION</u>
24	Claims for the May 26, 2017 Merger with Ultratech, Inc.
25	Veeco per share value: \$31.75 per share
26	Closing price on the date the lawsuit was filed: ³ \$18.25 per share
27	
28	The initial Class Action Complaint was filed on June 8, 2018.

4 5

8 9

10

11 12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

For shares of Veeco common stock acquired in exchange for Ultratech common stock pursuant to the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 merger with Ultratech, and

- 1) sold prior to June 8, 2018, the claim per share is \$31.75 less the Sales Price.
- 2) retained on June 8, 2018, or sold on or after June 8, 2018, the claim per share is the lesser of (i) \$13.50 (\$31.75 minus \$18.25), or (ii) \$31.75 less the Sales Price.

Any sale of Veeco common stock shall be deemed to have occurred on the "contract" or "trade" date as opposed to the "settlement" or "payment" date. All sale prices shall exclude any fees and commissions. The receipt or grant by gift, devise, or operation of law of Veeco common stock shall not be deemed an acquisition or sale of Veeco common stock for the calculation of a claimant's Recognized Claim nor shall it be deemed an assignment of any claim relating to the acquisition of such share unless specifically provided in the instrument of gift or assignment. The receipt of Veeco common stock in exchange for securities of any corporation or entity other than Ultratech shall not be deemed an acquisition of Veeco common stock.

The total of all profits shall be subtracted from the total of all losses from transactions during the relevant period to determine if a Class Member has a Recognized Claim. Only if a Class Member had a net market loss, after all profits from transactions in Veeco common stock during the relevant period are subtracted from all losses, will such Class Member be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund.

If an Authorized Claimant has an overall market gain, the Recognized Claim for that Authorized Claimant will be \$0.00. If an Authorized Claimant has an overall market loss, that Authorized Claimant's Recognized Claim will be limited to the amount of overall market loss. The Claims Administrator shall allocate to each Authorized Claimant a pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his, her, or its Recognized Claim as compared to the total Recognized Claims of all Authorized Claimants. No distribution shall be made to Authorized Claimants who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$10.00.

Distributions will be made to Authorized Claimants after all claims have been processed, after the Court has finally approved the Settlement, and after any appeals are resolved. If there is any balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund after at least six (6) months from the initial date of distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (whether by reason of tax refunds, uncashed checks, or otherwise), the Claims Administrator shall, if feasible, reallocate such balance among Authorized Claimants in an equitable and economic fashion. These redistributions shall be repeated until the balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund is no longer economically feasible to distribute to Class Members. Thereafter, subject to distribution to state entities, as required by California Code of Civil Procedure §384(b)(3), any balance that still remains in the Net Settlement Fund shall be donated to the Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County.

Please contact the Claims Administrator or Class Counsel if you disagree with any determinations made by the Claims Administrator regarding your Proof of Claim. If you are dissatisfied with the determinations, you may ask Class Counsel to request that the Court, which retains jurisdiction over all Class Members and the claims administration process, decide the issue.

The Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust the claim of any Class Member on equitable grounds.

Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation set forth above shall be conclusive against all Authorized Claimants. No Person shall have any claim against Class Representatives, Plaintiffs' Counsel, Claims Administrator, any other Person designated by Plaintiffs, Counsel, or any of the Released Parties or Defendants' Counsel based on the distributions made substantially in accordance

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

24

26

27

28

with the Stipulation and the Settlement contained therein, the Plan of Allocation, or further orders of the Court. All Class Members who fail to complete and submit a valid and timely Proof of Claim shall be barred from participating in distributions from the Net Settlement Fund (unless otherwise ordered by the Court), but otherwise shall be bound by all of the terms of the Stipulation, including the terms of any judgment entered and the releases given.

DO I NEED TO CONTACT PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT FUND?

No. If you have received this Notice and timely submit your Proof of Claim to the designated address, you need not contact Plaintiffs' Counsel. If your address changes, please contact the Claims Administrator at:

> Veeco Securities Settlement c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC P.O. Box 43384 Providence, RI 02940-3384 Telephone: 866-724-5049 www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com

THERE WILL BE NO PAYMENTS IF THE STIPULATION IS TERMINATED

The Stipulation may be terminated under several circumstances outlined in it. If the Stipulation is terminated, the Action will proceed as if the Stipulation had not been entered into.

WHAT ARE THE REASONS FOR SETTLEMENT?

The Settlement was reached after highly contested motion practice directed to the sufficiency of Class Representatives' claims and whether the proposed Class could be certified. The Parties conducted extensive document discovery. Nevertheless, the Court has not reached any final decisions in connection with Class Representatives' claims against Defendants. Instead, Class Representatives and Defendants have agreed to this Settlement, which was reached with the substantial assistance of Judge Jay C. Gandhi, a highly respected former judge with extensive experience in the mediation of complex class actions. In reaching the Settlement, the Parties have avoided the cost, delay and uncertainty of further litigation, as detailed below.

As in any litigation, Class Representatives and the proposed Class would face an uncertain outcome if they did not agree to the Settlement. The Parties expected that the case could continue for a lengthy period of time and that even if Class Representatives succeeded, Defendants would file appeals that would postpone final resolution of the case. Continuation of the Action against Defendants could also result in no recovery at all or a judgment that is less than the amount of the Settlement. Conversely, with regards to Defendants, continuing the case could result in a judgment in an amount greater than this Settlement. Accordingly, both Class Representatives and Defendants have determined that Settlement on the terms set forth in the Stipulation was in their best interests in light of the facts and procedural posture of the Action and the uncertainty of continued litigation.

Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the proposed Settlement is fair and reasonable to the members of the Class. They have reached this conclusion for several reasons. Specifically, if the Settlement is approved, the Class will receive a certain and immediate monetary recovery. Additionally, Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the significant and immediate benefits of the Settlement, when weighed against the significant risk, delay and uncertainty of continued litigation, are a very favorable result for the Class.

WHO REPRESENTS THE CLASS?

The following attorneys are counsel for the Class:

Ellen Gusikoff Stewart
James I. Jaconette
ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &
DOWD LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 1-800-449-4900

Francis A. Bottini, Jr. Yury A. Kolesnikov BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 1-858-914-2001

If you have any questions about the Action, or the Settlement, you may consult with Class Counsel by contacting counsel at the phone numbers listed above.

You may obtain a copy of the Stipulation by contacting the Claims Administrator at:

Veeco Securities Settlement c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC P.O. Box 43384 Providence, RI 02940-3384 Telephone: 866-724-5049 www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com

HOW WILL THE PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS BE PAID?

Class Counsel will file a motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses on behalf of all Plaintiffs' Counsel that will be considered at the Settlement Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel will apply for an attorneys' fee award for Plaintiffs' Counsel in the amount of up to 33-1/3% of the Settlement Fund (or \$5,000,000), plus payment of Plaintiffs' Counsel's expenses incurred in connection with this Action in an amount not to exceed \$175,000. In addition, Class Representatives may seek a payment of up to \$20,000 in the aggregate for their efforts in representing the Class, and Notice and Administration Expenses are estimated to be \$350,000. Such sums as may be approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Class Members are not personally liable for any such fees or expenses.

The attorneys' fees and expenses requested will be the only payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel for their efforts in achieving this Settlement and for their risk in undertaking this representation on a wholly contingent basis. The fees requested will compensate Plaintiffs' Counsel for their work in achieving the Settlement. The Court will decide what constitutes a reasonable fee award and may award less than the amount requested by Class Counsel.

CAN I EXCLUDE (OPT OUT) MYSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT?

Yes. If you want to keep the right to sue or continue to sue Defendants on your own about the legal issues in this case, then you must take steps to get out of the Class. This is called excluding yourself from, or "opting out" of, the Class. If you are requesting exclusion because you want to bring your own lawsuit based on the matters alleged in this Action, you may want to consult an attorney and discuss whether any individual claim that you may wish to pursue would be time-barred by the applicable statutes of limitation or repose.

To exclude yourself from the Class, you must send a signed letter by mail saying that you want to be excluded from the Class in the following Action: *Wolther v. Maheshwari*, Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Cal. Super. Ct., Cnty. of Santa Clara). Be sure to include your name, address, telephone

28

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2	number and the number of shares of Veeco common stock that you acquired in the Merger with Ultratech. Your exclusion request must be postmarked no later than , 2022, and sent to the Claims Administrator at:
3	Veeco Securities Settlement
	Claims Administrator
4	c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC EXCLUSIONS
5	150 Royall Street, Suite 101
6	Canton, MA 02021
	You cannot exclude yourself by phone or by e-mail. If you make a proper request for exclusion,
7 8	you will not receive a settlement payment, and you cannot object to the Settlement. If you make a proper request for exclusion, you will not be legally bound by anything that happens in this lawsuit.
9	CAN I OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT?
	Yes. If you are a Class Member, you may object to any or all of the following: the terms of the
10	Settlement, the requested attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, Class Representatives' request for payment for representing the Class and/or the Plan of Allocation. You can either submit a written
11	objection or you can attend the Settlement Fairness Hearing to make an oral objection.
12	In order for any written objection to be considered, it must (a) clearly identify the case name and
13	number (<i>Wolther v. Maheshwari</i> , Lead Case No. 18CV329690) and include proof of Class membership; (b) be submitted to the Court either by mailing the objection to: Clerk of the Court, Superior Court of
14	California, County of Santa Clara, 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, or by filing in person at
	the same location; (c) also be mailed to Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel listed below; and (d) be filed or postmarked on or before , 2022.
15	Class Counsel's addresses are Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 655 West Broadway,
16	Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, c/o Ellen Gusikoff Stewart and Bottini & Bottini, Inc., 7817 Ivanhoe
17	Avenue, Suite 102, La Jolla, CA 92037, c/o Francis A. Bottini, Jr.; Defendants' Counsel's address is O'Melveny & Myers LLP, 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071, c/o Matthew
18	W. Close.
19	If you submit a written objection, attendance at the Settlement Fairness Hearing is not necessary.
20	You can also make an oral objection by appearing at the Settlement Fairness Hearing. You do
21	not have to file a written objection in order to appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing for the purpose of presenting an oral objection.
	WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OBJECTING AND EXCLUDING MYSELF
22	FROM THE SETTLEMENT?
23	Objecting is telling the Court that you do not like something about the proposed Settlement, the
24	Plan of Allocation, Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and/or Class Representatives' request for payment for representing the Class. You can object only if you stay
25	in the Class. Excluding yourself is telling the Court that you do not want to be part of the Class. If you exclude yourself, you have no basis to object because the case no longer applies to you.
26	WHAT ARE MY RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE SETTLEMENT?
27	If you are a Class Member and you do not exclude yourself from the Class, you may receive the
28	benefit of, and you will be bound by, the terms of the Settlement described in this Notice, upon approval by the Court.
	_ 8 _

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

HOW CAN I GET A PAYMENT?

In order to qualify for a payment, you must timely complete and return the Proof of Claim that accompanies this Notice. A Proof of Claim is enclosed with this Notice and also may be downloaded at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com. Read the instructions carefully; fill out the Proof of Claim; sign it; and mail or submit it online so that it is **postmarked (if mailed) or received (if submitted online) no later than** ______, **2022**. The Proof of Claim may be submitted online at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com. If you do not submit a timely Proof of Claim with all of the required information, you will not receive a payment from the Settlement Fund; however, unless you expressly exclude yourself from the Class as described above, you will still be bound in all other respects by the Settlement, the Judgment, and the release contained in them.

WHAT CLAIMS WILL BE RELEASED BY THE SETTLEMENT?

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, the Court will enter a Judgment. If the Judgment becomes Final pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, all Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged any and all of the Released Parties from all Released Claims.

"Released Claims" means all claims, including "Unknown Claims" as defined in the Stipulation, that both (i) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which could have been alleged in, referred to or made part of this Action, and (ii) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to the acquisition of Veeco common stock by Class Members pursuant or traceable to the Offering Documents issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 Merger with Ultratech. "Released Claims" also includes any and all claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Settlement or resolution of the Action against the Released Parties (including Unknown Claims), except claims to enforce any of the terms of the Stipulation. For the avoidance of doubt, "Released Claims" does not include any claims brought under the federal securities laws against Veeco that are unrelated to the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations, or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which could have been alleged in, referred to or made part of this Action.

THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND RELEASES IS ONLY A SUMMARY. The complete terms, including the definitions of "Released Parties" and "Unknown Claims" as used in the preceding paragraph, are set forth in the Stipulation (including its exhibits), which may be obtained at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com, or by contacting Class Counsel listed on Page above.

THE SETTLEMENT FAIRNESS HEARING

The Court will hold a Settlement Fairness Hearing on April 21, 2022, at 1:30 p.m., before the Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni at the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Department 1, 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, for the purpose of determining whether: (1) the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate; (2) Judgment as provided under the Stipulation should be entered; (3) to award Plaintiffs' Counsel attorneys' fees and expenses out of the Settlement Fund and, if so, in what amount; (4) to pay Class Representatives for their efforts in representing the Class out of the Settlement Fund and, if so, in what

5

1

3

7

6

9

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

1 2	amount; and (5) the Plan of Allocation should be approved by the Court. The Court may adjourn or continue the Settlement Fairness Hearing without further notice to members of the Class.
3	Any Class Member may appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing and be heard on any of the foregoing matters.
4 5	Unless otherwise directed by the Court, any Class Member who does not make his, her or its objection in the manner provided herein shall be deemed to have waived all objections to this Settlement and shall be foreclosed from raising (in this or any other proceeding or on any appeal) any
6	objection and any untimely objection shall be barred.
7 8	You may (but are not required to) hire an attorney at your own expense to represent you for purposes of objecting. If you do, your attorney must serve a notice of appearance on counsel and file it with the Court, at the addresses listed on Page, by no later than, 2022.
9 10 11	Hearings before the judge overseeing this case are again being conducted in person. However, remote appearances are still permitted, and are offered with the assistance of a third-party service provider, CourtCall. If that remains the case at the time of the Settlement Fairness Hearing, Class Members who wish to appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing remotely should contact Class Counsel to arrange an appearance through CourtCall, at least three days before the hearing if possible. Any CourtCall fees for an appearance by an objecting Class Member shall be paid by Class Counsel.
12	HOW DO I OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?
13 14 15 16 17	This Notice contains only a summary of the terms of the proposed Settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the Settlement, you are referred to the detailed Stipulation, which is on file with the Clerk of the Court. The pleadings and other records in this Action, including the Stipulation, may be examined (a) online on the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara's Electronic Filing and Service Website at www.scefiling.org, or (b) in person at Records, Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, 191 North First Street, San Jose, California 95113, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Court holidays and closures. In addition, all of the Settlement documents, including the Stipulation, this Notice, the Proof of Claim and proposed Judgment may be obtained by contacting the Claims Administrator at:
18	Veeco Securities Settlement c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC
19 20	P.O. Box 43384 Providence, RI 02940-3384
20	Email: info@veecosecuritiessettlement.com Telephone: 866-724-5049
22	www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com
23	In addition, you may contact Rick Nelson, Shareholder Relations, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, 1-800-449-4900, if you have any questions about the Action or the Settlement.
24 25	PLEASE DO NOT WRITE TO OR TELEPHONE THE COURT OR DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL FOR INFORMATION REGARDING THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIMS PROCESS
26	SPECIAL NOTICE TO BANKS, BROKERS, AND OTHER NOMINEES
27 28	If you hold any Veeco common stock acquired in the Merger between Veeco and Ultratech, inclusive, as a nominee for a beneficial owner, then, within fourteen (14) business days after you

1	receive this Notice, you must either: (1) send a copy of this Notice by First-Class Mail to all such Persons; or (2) provide a list of the names and addresses of such Persons to the Claims Administrator at:
2	Veeco Securities Settlement
3	c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC
4	P.O. Box 43384 Providence, RI 02940-3384
5	Email: info@veecosecuritiessettlement.com
6	Telephone: 866-724-5049 www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com
	If you choose to mail the Notice and Proof of Claim yourself, you may obtain from the
7 8	Claims Administrator (without cost to you) as many additional copies of these documents as you will need to complete the mailing.
9	Regardless of whether you choose to complete the mailing yourself or elect to have the mailing
10	performed for you, you may obtain reimbursement for or advancement of reasonable administrative costs actually incurred or expected to be incurred in connection with forwarding the Notice and which would not have been incurred but for the obligation to forward the Notice, upon submission of
11	appropriate documentation to the Claims Administrator.
12	DATED: BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF
13	CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

EXHIBIT A-2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892) JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783) YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax) fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com	
	Class Counsel	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		OF SANTA CLARA
15		
16	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) Lead Case No. 18CV329690) (Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and
17	Plaintiff,) No. 18CV332644)
18	VS.) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)
19	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,) PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE
20	Defendants.) EXHIBIT A-2)
21		_) Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni Dept.: 1
22		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

2 To recover as a Class Member based on the claims in the action entitled Wolther v. *Maheshwari*, Lead Case No. 18CV329690 ("Action"), you must complete and, on page hereof, 3 sign this Proof of Claim. If you fail to file a properly addressed (as set forth in paragraph 3 below) 5 Proof of Claim, your claim may be rejected and you may be precluded from any recovery from the Net Settlement Fund created in connection with the proposed Settlement. 6 7 2. Submission of this Proof of Claim, however, does not assure that you will share in the 8 proceeds of the Settlement of the Action. 9 YOU MUST MAIL OR SUBMIT ONLINE YOUR COMPLETED AND SIGNED PROOF OF CLAIM, ACCOMPANIED BY COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS REQUESTED 10 HEREIN, **ON OR BEFORE** ______, **2022**, ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS: 11 Veeco Securities Settlement 12 Claims Administrator 13 c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC P.O. Box 43384 14 Providence, RI 02940-3384 Online Submissions: www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com 15 16 If you are NOT a Class Member, as defined in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice"), DO NOT submit a Proof of Claim. 17 If you are a Class Member and you do not timely request exclusion, you are bound by 4. 18 the terms of any judgment entered in the Action, including the releases provided therein, WHETHER 19 OR NOT YOU SUBMIT A PROOF OF CLAIM. 20 21 II. **CLAIMANT IDENTIFICATION** You are a Class Member if you acquired shares of Veeco Instruments, Inc. ("Veeco" or the 22 "Company") common stock pursuant or traceable to the registration statement and prospectus issued in 23 connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 merger with Ultratech, Inc. ("Ultratech") (the "Merger"). 24 25 26 This Proof of Claim and Release ("Proof of Claim") incorporates by reference the definitions in the 27 Amended Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation"), which obtained www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com. 28

PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

I.

1

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Use Part I of this form entitled "Claimant Identification" to identify each acquirer of record ("nominee") of the Veeco common stock that forms the basis of this claim. THIS CLAIM MUST BE FILED BY THE ACTUAL BENEFICIAL ACQUIRER(S) OR THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF SUCH ACQUIRER(S) OF THE VEECO COMMON STOCK UPON WHICH THIS CLAIM IS BASED.

All joint acquirers must sign this claim. Executors, administrators, guardians, conservators, and trustees must complete and sign this claim on behalf of persons represented by them and their authority must accompany this claim and their titles or capacities must be stated. The last four digits of the Social Security (or taxpayer identification) number and telephone number of the beneficial owner may be used in verifying the claim. Failure to provide the foregoing information could delay verification of your claim or result in rejection of the claim.

If you are acting in a representative capacity on behalf of a Class Member (for example as an executor, administrator, trustee, or other representative), you must submit evidence of your current authority to act on behalf of that Class Member. Such evidence would include, for example, letters testamentary, letters of administration, or a copy of the trust documents. By signing the Proof of Claim, you will be swearing that you are expressly authorized to act on behalf of the owner of the shares.

One claim should be submitted for each separate legal entity. Separate Proofs of Claim should be submitted for each separate legal entity (*e.g.*, a claim from joint owners should not include separate transactions of just one of the joint owners, and an individual should not combine his or her IRA transactions with transactions made solely in the individual's name). Conversely, a single Proof of Claim should be submitted on behalf of one legal entity including all transactions made by that entity on one Proof of Claim, no matter how many separate accounts that entity has (*e.g.*, a corporation with multiple brokerage accounts should include all transactions made in all accounts on one Proof of Claim).

III. CLAIM FORM

Use Part II of this form entitled "Schedule of Transactions in Veeco Common Stock" to supply all required details of your transaction(s). If you need more space or additional schedules, attach

separate sheets giving all of the required information in substantially the same form. Sign and print or type your name on each additional sheet.

On the schedules, provide all of the requested information with respect to *all* of your acquisitions of Veeco common stock that you received in the Merger and *all* of your sales of Veeco common stock on or after May 26, 2017, whether such transactions resulted in a profit or a loss. You must also provide all of the requested information with respect to the number of shares of Veeco common stock you held at the close of trading on June 8, 2018. Failure to report all such transactions may result in the rejection of your claim.

List each transaction separately and in chronological order, by trade date, beginning with the earliest. You must accurately provide the month, day, and year of each transaction you list.

COPIES OF BROKER CONFIRMATIONS OR OTHER DOCUMENTATION OF YOUR TRANSACTIONS IN VEECO COMMON STOCK SHOULD BE ATTACHED TO YOUR CLAIM. FAILURE TO PROVIDE THIS DOCUMENTATION COULD DELAY VERIFICATION OF YOUR CLAIM OR RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM.

PLEASE NOTE: As set forth in the Plan of Allocation, each Authorized Claimant shall receive his, her, or its *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund. If the prorated payments to any Authorized Claimant calculates to less than \$10.00, it will not be included in the calculation and no distribution will be made to that Authorized Claimant.

If you have any questions concerning the Proof of Claim, or need additional copies of the Proof of Claim or the Notice, you may contact the Claims Administrator, Gilardi & Co. LLC, at the address on the first page on the Proof of Claim, by e-mail at info@veecosecuritiessettlement.com, or by toll-free phone at 866-724-5049, or you can visit the website, www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com, where copies of the Proof of Claim and Notice are available for downloading.

NOTICE REGARDING ELECTRONIC FILES: Certain claimants with large numbers of transactions may request, or may be requested, to submit information regarding their transactions in electronic files. All such claimants MUST also submit a manually signed paper Proof of Claim whether or not they also submit electronic copies. If you wish to submit your claim electronically, you must contact the Claims Administrator at edata@gilardi.com to obtain the required file layout. No electronic

	ıl
1	files will be considered to have been properly submitted unless the Claims Administrator issues to the
2	claimant a written acknowledgment of receipt and acceptance of electronically submitted data.
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
5	Wolther v. Maheshwari, et al.
6	Lead Case No. 18CV329690
7	PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE
8	Must Be Postmarked (if Mailed) or Received (if Submitted Online) No Later Than:
9	, 2022
10	Please Type or Print
11	REMEMBER TO ATTACH COPIES OF BROKER CONFIRMATIONS OR OTHER
12	DOCUMENTATION OF YOUR TRANSACTIONS IN VEECO COMMON STOCK. FAILURE
13	TO PROVIDE THIS DOCUMENTATION COULD DELAY VERIFICATION OF YOUR
14	CLAIM OR RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

Last Name (Co-l				-	1 1	-		M.I	-	First	Ivallic		1				1
Last Name (Co-																	
	Beneficial (Owner)						M.	l.	First	Name	(Co-	Bene	eficial	Owne	er)	
○ IRA	O Joint	Tenancy		() Emp	loyee	892 2		01	Individ	ual		(Oth	ner	(speci	ifu)
Company Name	(Beneficia	al Owner -	- If Clair	mant i	s not a	an Indi	vidual	or Cu	stod	ian Na	ame if	an IR	A			(speci	iiy)
Trustee/Asset M	lanager/No	minoo/Pe	poord O	hunor'	's Nor	o (If F	ifforor	t from	Pon	oficial	Own	ar Liet	od Al	hovo)			
Trustee/Asset W	anagemo	minee/Ke	SCOIG C	wilei	5 IVall	ie (ii L	merer	I IIOIII	Den	lenciai	OWIR	EI LIST	eu A	bove,	,		
Account#/Fund#	(Not Nece	essary for	Individ	ual Fi	lers)											-	
									7								
Casial Cassaits A	I washing					7-		14-44	J	NI							
Social Security N	vumber _		T		or	Tax	kpayer	Identif	Icati	on Nu	mber						
Telephone Numb	per (Primar	ry Daytime	e)			elenh	one Ni	ımber	(Alte	ernate)							
]_[Í		7				(c		_[T					
Email Address																	
Address MAILING	G INFORM	MATION -															
Address	1-1-	T			FT						T	П		T	1=1	П	
Address				_							-		_	_			
City									State	е	Zip	Code	e e				
oreign Province					Fore	ign Po	stal C	ode			F	oreig	n Co	untry	Name	e/Abb	reviat
							- 6 -										

A. Shares of Veeco common stock acquired in the Merger:

B. Sales of Veeco common stock on or after May 26, 2017:

Trade Date (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Total Sales Price (Excluding commissions, taxes and fees)	Proof of Sale Enclosed
1	1	1	□ Y □ N
2	2	2	□ Y □ N
3	3	3	□ Y □ N

C. Number of shares of Veeco common stock acquired in the Merger and held at the close of trading on June 8, 2018: ______

YOU MUST READ AND SIGN THE RELEASE ON PAGE __. FAILURE TO SIGN THE RELEASE MAY RESULT IN A DELAY IN PROCESSING OR THE REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM.

IV. SUBMISSION TO JURISDICTION OF COURT AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I (We) submit this Proof of Claim under the terms of the Stipulation described in the Notice. I (We) also submit to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara, with respect to my (our) claim as a Class Member and for purposes of enforcing the release set forth herein. I (We) further acknowledge that I am (we are) bound by and subject to the terms of any judgment that may be entered in the Action. I (We) agree to furnish additional information to the Claims Administrator to support this claim if requested to do so. I (We) have not submitted any other claim covering the same acquisitions or sales of Veeco common stock during the relevant period and know of no other person having done so on my (our) behalf.

V. RELEASE

1. I (We) hereby acknowledge full and complete satisfaction of, and do hereby fully, finally, and forever settle, release, and discharge from the Released Claims each and all of the "Released Parties," defined as Defendants and each and all of their Related Parties, as defined in the Stipulation.

- 2. "Released Claims" means all claims, including "Unknown Claims" as defined in the Stipulation, that both (i) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which could have been alleged in, referred to or made part of this Action, and (ii) arise out of, are based upon, are connected to, or reasonably relate to the acquisition of Veeco common stock by Class Members pursuant or traceable to the Offering Documents issued in connection with Veeco's May 26, 2017 Merger with Ultratech. "Released Claims" also includes any and all claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Settlement or resolution of the Action against the Released Parties (including Unknown Claims), except claims to enforce any of the terms of the Stipulation. For the avoidance of doubt, "Released Claims" does not include any claims brought under the federal securities laws against Veeco that are unrelated to the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations or omissions involved, set forth, alleged or referred to, in this Action, or which were or could have been alleged, referred to or made part of this Action.
- 3. I (We) hereby warrant and represent that I (we) have not assigned or transferred or purported to assign or transfer, voluntarily or involuntarily, any matter released pursuant to this release or any other part or portion thereof.
- 4. I (We) hereby warrant and represent that I (we) have included information about all of my (our) transactions in Veeco common stock that occurred during the relevant period as well as the number of shares held by me (us) at the close of trading on June 8, 2018.
- I (We) declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all of the foregoing information supplied on this Proof of Claim by the undersigned is true and correct.

1	Execute	ed this day of(Month/Year)
2		(Month/Year)
3	in(Cit	(State/Country)
Ļ		
;		(Sign your name here)
5		
,		(Type or print your name here)
3		(Capacity of person(s) signing, e.g., Beneficial Acquirer, Executor or Administrator)
)		ACCURATE CLAIMS PROCESSING TAKES A
		SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.
	Reminder Che	
	1.	Please sign the above release and acknowledgment.
	2.	Remember to attach copies of supporting documentation.
		Do not send originals of certificates or other documentation as they will not be returned.
7		Keep a copy of your Proof of Claim and all supporting documentation for your records.
:		If you desire an acknowledgment of receipt of your Proof of Claim, please send it Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.
	6.	If you move, please send your new address to the address below.
	7.	Do not use red pen or highlighter on the Proof of Claim or supporting documentation.
,		
,		
3		
		- 9 - PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

THIS PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE SUBMITTED ONLINE OR MAILED NO LATER THAN ______, 2022, ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS: Veeco Securities Settlement Claims Administrator c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC P.O. Box 43384 Providence, RI 02940-3384 Online Submissions: www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com

- 10 -PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

EXHIBIT A-3

1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
2	ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892) JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565)	
3	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)	
5	elleng@rgrdlaw.com jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
6	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.	
7	FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783) YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173)	
8	7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037	
9	Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax)	
10	fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com	
11	Class Counsel	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF	SANTA CLARA
15	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on)	Lead Case No. 18CV329690
16	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	(Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and No. 18CV332644)
17	Plaintiff,)	CLASS ACTION
18	VS.	SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED
19	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,	SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
20	Defendants.)	EXHIBIT A-3
21		Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni Dept.: 1
2223		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

TO: ALL PERSONS WHO ACQUIRED VEECO INSTRUMENTS, INC. ("VEECO" OR THE "COMPANY") COMMON STOCK IN EXCHANGE FOR ULTRATECH, ("ULTRATECH") COMMON STOCK PURSUANT TO THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT AND PROSPECTUS ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH VEECO'S MAY 26, 2017 MERGER WITH ULTRATECH

THIS NOTICE WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT. IT IS NOT A LAWYER SOLICITATION. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing will be held on April 21, 2022, at 1:30 p.m., before the Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni at the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Department 1, 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, to determine whether: (1) the proposed settlement ("Settlement") of the above-captioned action as set forth in the Amended Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation") for \$15,000,000 in cash should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate; (2) the Judgment as provided under the Stipulation should be entered; (3) to award Plaintiffs' Counsel attorneys' fees and expenses out of the Settlement Fund (as defined in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice"), which is discussed below) and, if so, in what amount; (4) to pay Class Representatives for representing the Class out of the Settlement Fund and, if so, in what amount; and (5) the Plan of Allocation should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

This Action is a consolidated securities class action brought on behalf of those persons who acquired Veeco common stock pursuant or traceable to the registration statement and prospectus issued in connection with Veeco's merger with Ultratech, against Veeco and certain of its officers and directors (collectively, "Defendants") for, among other things, allegedly misstating and omitting material facts from the registration statement and prospectus filed in connection with the Merger. Plaintiffs allege that these purportedly false and misleading statements resulted in damage to Class Members when the truth was revealed. Defendants deny all of Plaintiffs' allegations.

IF YOU ACQUIRED VEECO COMMON STOCK IN THE MERGER WITH ULTRATECH, YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE SETTLEMENT OF THIS ACTION.

The Stipulation can be viewed and/or obtained at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com.

1	To share in the distribution of the Settlement Fund, you must establish your rights by submitting			
2	a Proof of Claim and Release form ("Proof of Claim") by mail (postmarked no later than			
3	, 2022) or electronically (no later than, 2022). Your failure to timely submit			
4	your Proof of Claim will subject your claim to rejection and preclude your receiving any of the recovery			
5	in connection with the Settlement of this Action. If you are a member of the Class and do not reques			
6	exclusion therefrom, you will be bound by the Settlement and any judgment and release entered in the			
7	Action, whether or not you submit a Proof of Claim.			
8	If you have not received a copy of the Notice, which more completely describes the Settlemen			
9	and your rights thereunder (including your right to object to the Settlement), and a Proof of Claim, you			
10	may obtain these documents, as well as a copy of the Stipulation (which, among other things, contains			
11	definitions for the defined terms used in this Summary Notice) and other settlement documents, online			
12	at www.VeecoSecuritiesSettlement.com, or by writing to:			
13	Veeco Securities Settlement			
14	c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC P.O. Box 43384			
15	Providence, RI 02940-3384			
16	Inquiries should NOT be directed to Defendants, the Court, or the Clerk of the Court.			
17	Inquiries, other than requests for the Notice or for a Proof of Claim, may be made to Class			
18	Counsel:			
19	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP Ellen Gusikoff Stewart			
20	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101			
21	Telephone: 800/449-4900			
22	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. Francis A. Bottini, Jr.			
23	7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Śuite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037			
24	Telephone: 858/914-2001			
25	IF YOU DESIRE TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE CLASS, YOU MUST SUBMIT A			
26	REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION SUCH THAT IT IS POSTMARKED BY ,2022, IN			
27	THE MANNER AND FORM EXPLAINED IN THE NOTICE. ALL MEMBERS OF THE CLASS			
28				
	_ 3 _			

SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

1	WHO HAVE NOT REQUESTED EXCLUSION FROM THE CLASS WILL BE BOUND BY THE		
2	SETTLEMENT EVEN IF THEY DO NOT SUBMIT A TIMELY PROOF OF CLAIM.		
3	IF YOU ARE A CLASS MEMBER, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE		
4	SETTLEMENT, THE PLAN OF ALLOCATION, THE REQUEST BY PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL		
5	FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES of 33 1/3% OF THE SETTLEMENT FUND (OR		
6	\$5,000,000) AND EXPENSES NOT TO EXCEED \$175,000, AND/OR THE PAYMENT TO CLASS		
7	REPRESENTATIVES NOT TO EXCEED \$20,000 IN THE AGGREGATE FOR REPRESENTING		
8	THE CLASS. ANY WRITTEN OBJECTIONS MUST BE FILED WITH THE COURT AND SENT		
9	TO CLASS COUNSEL AND DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL BY, 2022, IN THE		
10			
11	OBJECTION AT THE SETTLEMENT FAIRNESS HEARING WITHOUT SUBMITTING A		
12	WRITTEN OBJECTION.		
13	DATED: BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF		
14	CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION



1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN			
2	& DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892)			
3	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900			
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058			
5	619/231-7423 (fax) elleng@rgrdlaw.com			
6	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com			
7	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. (175783)			
8	YURY A. KOLESNIKOV (271173) 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102			
9	La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001			
10	858/914-2002 (fax) fbottini@bottinilaw.com			
11	ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com			
12	Class Counsel			
13				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
16	MATT WOLTHER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Lead Case No. 18CV329690(Consolidated with No. 18CV332463 and No. 18CV332644)		
17	Plaintiff,) CLASS ACTION		
18	VS.) ———		
19	SHUBHAM MAHESHWARI, et al.,	(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT AND ORDER(GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS)(ACTION SETTLEMENT)		
20	Defendants.)) EXHIBIT B		
21		Judge: Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni		
22		Dept.: 1		
23		Date Action Filed: June 8, 2018		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
1				

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, the Court is advised that the Parties, through their counsel, have agreed, subject to Court approval following notice to the Class and a hearing, to settle this Action upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Amended Stipulation of Settlement dated November 30, 2021 (the "Stipulation" or "Settlement"); and

WHEREAS, on _______, 2021, the Court entered its Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice, which preliminarily approved the Settlement, and approved the form and manner of notice to the Class of the Settlement, and said notice has been made, and the fairness hearing having been held; and

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the Stipulation and all of the filings, records, and proceedings herein, and it appearing to the Court upon examination that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and upon a Settlement Fairness Hearing having been held after notice to the Class of the Settlement to determine if the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether the Judgment should be entered in this Action;

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND CONCLUDES THAT:

- A. The provisions of the Stipulation, including definitions of the terms used therein, are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- B. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this Action and over all of the Parties and all Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.
- C. The form, content, and method of dissemination of notice given to the Class was adequate and reasonable and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort.
- D. Notice, as given, complied with the requirements of California law, satisfied the requirements of due process, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth herein.
 - E. The Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation, is fair, reasonable, and adequate:
- (i) The Settlement was negotiated at arm's length by Class Representatives on behalf of the Class and by Defendants, all of whom were represented by highly experienced and skilled counsel. The case settled only after, among other things: (a) mediations conducted by an experienced mediator who was familiar with this Action; (b) the exchange between the Plaintiffs and Defendants of

13 14

15 16

18

17

19

21

22

20

23

24 25

26 27

28

detailed mediation statements and exhibits prior to the mediations which highlighted the factual and legal issues in dispute; (c) follow-up negotiations between the Class Representatives and Defendants with the assistance of the mediator; (d) Plaintiffs' Counsel's extensive investigation, which included, among other things, a review of Veeco's press releases, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission filings, analyst reports, media reports, and other publicly disclosed reports and information about the Defendants; (e) the drafting and submission of detailed complaints; (f) motion practice; (g) the review and analysis of over 182,000 pages of non-public documents produced by Veeco; and (h) resolution of Class Representatives' motion for class certification. Accordingly, both the Class Representatives and Defendants were well-positioned to evaluate the settlement value of this Action. The Stipulation has been entered into in good faith and is not collusive.

- (ii) If the Settlement had not been achieved, both Class Representatives and Defendants faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation. The Court takes no position on the merits of either Class Representatives' or Defendants' arguments, but notes these arguments as evidence in support of the reasonableness of the Settlement.
- F. Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interest of the Class Members in connection with the Settlement.
- G. Class Representatives, all Class Members, and Defendants are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement on the terms set forth in the Stipulation is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Stipulation. The Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 2. All Released Parties as defined in the Stipulation are released in accordance with, and as defined in, the Stipulation.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, Class Representatives and each Class Member shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished,

9

13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release.

- Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released Class Representatives, Plaintiffs' Counsel, and each and all of the Class Members from all Released Defendants' Claims.
- 5. All Class Members who have not objected to the Settlement in the manner provided in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice") are deemed to have waived any objections by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
- 6. All Class Members who have failed to properly submit requests for exclusion (requests to opt out) from the Class are bound by the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Judgment.
- 7. The requests for exclusion by the persons or entities identified in Exhibit A to this Judgment are accepted by the Court.
- 8. All other provisions of the Stipulation are incorporated into this Judgment as if fully rewritten herein.
- 9. Class Representatives and all Class Members are hereby barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting in any court or tribunal any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement:
- Shall be offered or received against Defendants as evidence of, or evidence in (a) support of, a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against Defendants, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; however, Defendants may refer to it to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder;
- Shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or (b) presumption against Class Representatives or any of the Class Members that any of their claims are

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, Marianne Maloney, am and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested party in the within action, and have a business address of 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, California 92101.

I hereby declare that on December 1, 2021, I served the attached AMENDED STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT on the parties in the within action by emailing a copy to the addresses below:

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

NAME	FIRM	EMAIL
James I. Jaconette Ellen Gusikoff Stewart	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com elleng@rgrdlaw.com
Francis A. Bottini, Jr. Yury A. Kolesnikov	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102 La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: 858/914-2001 858/914-2002 (fax) Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	fbottini@bottinilaw.com ykolesnikov@bottinilaw.com
David W. Hall	HEDIN HALL LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/766-3534 415/402-0058 (fax) Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs	dhall@hedinhall.com
Guillaume Buell	THORNTON LAW FIRM LLP 1 Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: 617/720-1333 Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs	gbuell@tenlaw.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:

NAME	FIRM	EMAIL
Matthew W. Close Jonathan B. Waxman	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213/430-6000 213/430-6407 (fax) Attorneys for Defendants	mclose@omm.com jwaxman@omm.com

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 1, 2021, at San Diego, California.

MARIANNE MALONEY